BLOCKING COLOURED POINT SETS

GREG ALOUPIS, BRAD BALLINGER, SÉBASTIEN COLLETTE, STEFAN LANGERMAN, ATTILA PÓR, AND DAVID R. WOOD

ABSTRACT. This paper studies problems related to visibility among points in the plane. A point x blocks two points v and w if x is in the interior of the line segment \overline{vw} . A set of points P is k-blocked if each point in P is assigned one of k colours, such that distinct points $v, w \in P$ are assigned the same colour if and only if some other point in P blocks v and w. The focus of this paper is the conjecture that each k-blocked set has bounded size (as a function of k). Results in the literature imply that every 2-blocked set has at most 3 points, and every 3-blocked set has at most 6 points. We prove that every 4-blocked set has at most 12 points, and that this bound is tight. In fact, we characterise all sets $\{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4\}$ such that some 4-blocked set has exactly n_i points in the *i*-th colour class. Amongst other results, for infinitely many values of k, we construct k-blocked sets with $k^{1.79...}$ points.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 52C10 Erdős problems and related topics of discrete geometry, 05D10 Ramsey theory.

This is the full version of an extended abstract to appear in the 26th European Workshop on Computational Geometry (EuroCG '10).

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper studies problems related to visibility and blocking in sets of coloured points in the plane. A point x blocks two points v and w if x is in the interior of the line segment \overline{vw} . Let P be a finite set of points in the plane. Two points v and w are visible with respect to P if no point in P blocks v and w. The visibility graph of P has vertex set P, where two distinct points $v, w \in P$ are adjacent if and only if they are visible with respect to P. A point set B blocks P if $P \cap B = \emptyset$ and for all distinct $v, w \in P$ there is a point in B that blocks v and w. That is, no two points in P are visible with respect to $P \cup B$, or alternatively, P is an independent set in the visibility graph of $P \cup B$.

A set of points P is k-blocked if each point in P is assigned one of k colours, such that each pair of points $v, w \in P$ are visible with respect to P if and only if v and w are coloured differently. Thus v and w are assigned the same colour if and only if some other point in Pblocks v and w. A k-set is a multiset of k positive integers. For a k-set $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$, we say P is $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ -blocked if it is k-blocked and for some labelling of the colours by the integers $[k] := \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, the *i*-th colour class has exactly n_i points, for each $i \in [k]$. Equivalently, P is $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ -blocked if the visibility graph of P is the complete k-partite graph $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$. A k-set $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ is representable if there is an $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ -blocked point set. See Figure 1 for an example.



FIGURE 1. A $\{3, 3, 3, 3\}$ -blocked point set.

The following fundamental conjecture regarding k-blocked point sets is the focus of this paper.

Conjecture 1. For each integer k there is an integer n such that every k-blocked set has at most n points.

As illustrated in Figure 2, the following theorem is a direct consequence of the characterisation of 2- and 3-colourable visibility graphs by Kára et al. [6].

Theorem 2. $\{1,1\}$ and $\{1,2\}$ are the only representable 2-sets, and $\{1,1,1\}$, $\{1,1,2\}$, $\{1,2,2\}$ and $\{2,2,2\}$ are the only representable 3-sets.



FIGURE 2. The 2-blocked and 3-blocked point sets.

In particular, every 2-blocked point set has at most 3 points, and every 3-blocked point set has at most 6 points. This proves Conjecture 1 for $k \leq 3$.

This paper makes the following contributions. Section 3 introduces some background motivation for the study of k-blocked point sets, and observes that results in the literature prove Conjecture 1 for k = 4. Section 4 describes methods for constructing k-blocked sets from a given (k-1)-blocked set. These methods lead to a characterisation of representable k-sets when each colour class has at most three points. Section 5 studies the k = 4 case in more detail. In particular, we characterise the representable 4-sets, and conclude that the example in Figure 1 is in fact the largest 4-blocked point set. Section 6 introduces a special class of k-blocked sets (so-called midpoint-blocked sets) that lead to a construction of the largest known k-blocked sets for infinitely many values of k.

2. Basic Properties

Lemma 3. At most three points are collinear in every k-blocked point set.

Proof. Suppose that four points p, q, r, s are collinear in this order. Thus (p, q, r, s) is an induced path in the visibility graph. Thus p is not adjacent to r and not adjacent to s. Thus p, r, and s have the same colour. This is a contradiction since r and s are adjacent. Thus no four points are collinear.

A set of points P is in *general position* if no three points in P are collinear.

Lemma 4. Each colour class in a k-blocked point set is in general position.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that three points from a single colour class are collinear. Then no other points are in the same line by Lemma 3. Thus two of the three points are adjacent, which is a contradiction. \Box

BLOCKING COLOURED POINT SETS

3. Some Background Motivation

Much recent research on blockers began with the following conjecture by Kára et al. [6].

Conjecture 5 (Big-Line-Big-Clique Conjecture [6]). For all integers t and ℓ there is an integer n such that for every finite set P of at least n points in the plane:

- P contains ℓ collinear points, or
- P contains t pairwise visible points (that is, the visibility graph of P contains a t-clique).

Conjecture 5 is true for $t \leq 5$, but is open for $t \geq 6$ or $\ell \geq 4$; see [1, 10]. Given that, in general, Conjecture 5 is challenging, Jan Kára suggested the following weakening.

Conjecture 6 ([10]). For all integers t and ℓ there is an integer n such that for every finite set P of at least n points in the plane:

- P contains ℓ collinear points, or
- the chromatic number of the visibility graph of P is at least t.

Conjecture 5 implies Conjecture 6 since every graph that contains a t-clique has chromatic number at least t.

Proposition 7. Conjecture 6 with $\ell = 4$ and t = k + 1 implies Conjecture 1.

Proof. Assume Conjecture 6 holds for $\ell = 4$ and t = k + 1. Suppose there is a k-blocked set P of at least n points. By Lemma 3, at most three points are collinear in P. Thus the first conclusion of Conjecture 6 does not hold. By definition, the visibility graph of P is k-colourable. Thus the second conclusion of Conjecture 6 does not hold. This contradiction proves that every k-blocked set has less than n points, and Conjecture 1 holds.

Thus, since Conjecture 5 holds for $t \leq 5$, Conjecture 1 holds for $k \leq 4$. In Section 5 we take this result much further, by characterising all representable 4-sets, this concluding a tight bound on the size of a 4-blocked set.

Part of our interest in blocked point sets comes from the following.

Proposition 8. For all $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 2$, the edge set of the k-partite Turán graph K(n, n, ..., n) can be partitioned into a set of 'lines', where:

- each line is either an edge or an induced path on three vertices,
- every pair of vertices is in exactly one line, and
- for every line L there is a vertex adjacent to each vertex in L.

Proof. Let (i, p) be the *p*-th vertex in the *i*-th colour class for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ (taken as additive cyclic groups). We introduce three types of lines. First, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ and distinct $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, let the triple $\{(i, p), (i + 1, p + q), (i, q)\}$ be a line. Second, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, let the pair $\{(i, p), (i + 1, p + p)\}$ be a line. Third, for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and distinct non-consecutive $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_k$, let the pair $\{(i, p), (j, q)\}$ be a line. By construction each line is either an edge or an induced path on three vertices.

Every pair of vertices in the same colour class are in exactly one line (of the first type). Consider vertices (i, p) and (j, q) in distinct colour classes. First suppose that i and j are consecutive. Without loss of generality, j = i + 1. If $q \neq p + p$ then (i, p) and (j, q) are in exactly one line (of the first type). If q = p + p then (i, p) and (j, q) are in exactly one line (of the second type). If i and j are not consecutive, then (i, p) and (j, q) are in exactly one line (of the third type). This proves that every pair of vertices is in exactly one line. Moreover, every edge of $K(n, n, \ldots, n)$ is in exactly one line, and the lines partition the edges set.

Since every line L is contained in the union of two colour classes, each vertex in neither colour class intersecting L is adjacent to each vertex in L.

Proposition 8 is significant for Conjecture 5 because it says that $K(n, \ldots, n)$ behaves like a 'visibility space' with no four collinear points, but it has no large clique (for fixed k). Conjecture 1, if true, implies that such a visibility space is not 'geometrically representable' for large n.

Let b(n) be the minimum integer such that some set of n points in the plane in general position is blocked by some set of b(n) points. Matoušek [7] proved that $b(n) \ge 2n-3$. Dumitrescu et al. [2] improved this bound to $b(n) \ge (\frac{25}{8} - o(1))n$. Many authors have conjectured or stated as an open problem that b(n) is super-linear.

Conjecture 9 ([2, 7, 9, 10]). $\frac{b(n)}{n} \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty$.

Pór and Wood [10] proved that Conjecture 9 implies Conjecture 6, and thus implies Conjecture 1. That Conjecture 1 is implied by a number of other well-known conjectures, yet remains challenging, adds to its interest.

4. k-Blocked Sets with Small Colour Classes

We now describe some methods for building blocked point sets from smaller blocked point sets.

Lemma 10. Let G be a visibility graph. Let $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Furthermore suppose that if $i \ge 2$ then $V(G) \neq \emptyset$, and if i = 3 then not all the vertices of G are collinear. Let G_i be the graph obtained from G by adding an independent set of i new vertices, each adjacent to every vertex in G. Then G_1, G_2 , and G_3 are visibility graphs.

Proof. For distinct points p and q, let pq denote the ray that is (1) contained in the line through p and q, (2) starting at p, and (3) not containing q. Let \mathcal{L} be the union of the set of lines containing at least two vertices in G.

i = 1: Since \mathcal{L} is the union of finitely many lines, there is a point $p \notin \mathcal{L}$. Thus p is visible from every vertex of G. By adding a new vertex at p, we obtain a representation of G_1 as a visibility graph.

i = 2: Let p be a point not in \mathcal{L} . Let v be a vertex of G. Each line in \mathcal{L} intersects \overleftarrow{vp} in at most one point. Thus $\overleftarrow{vp} \setminus \mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$. Let q be a point in $\overleftarrow{vp} \setminus \mathcal{L}$. Thus p and q are visible from every vertex of G, but p and q are blocked by v. By adding new vertices at p and q, we obtain a representation of G_2 as a visibility graph.

i = 3: Let u, v, w be non-collinear vertices in G. Let p be a point not in \mathcal{L} and not in the convex hull of $\{u, v, w\}$. Without loss of generality, $\overline{uv} \cap \overline{pw} \neq \emptyset$. There are infinitely many pairs of points $q \in \overleftarrow{up}$ and $r \in \overleftarrow{vp}$ such that w blocks q and r. Thus there are such q and r both not in \mathcal{L} . By construction, u blocks p and q, and v blocks p and r. By adding new vertices at p, q and r, we obtain a representation of G_3 as a visibility graph.

Since no (≥ 3) -blocked set is collinear, Lemma 10 implies:

Corollary 11. If $k \ge 4$ and $\{n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1}\}$ is representable and $n_k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then $\{n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1}, n_k\}$ is representable.

The representable (≤ 3)-sets were characterised in Theorem 2. In each case, each colour class has at most three vertices. Now we characterise the representable (≥ 4)-sets, assuming that each colour class has at most three vertices.

Proposition 12. $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ is representable whenever $k \ge 4$ and each $n_i \le 3$, except for $\{1,3,3,3\}$.

Proof. We say the k-set $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ contains the (k-1)-set $\{n_1, \ldots, n_{i-1}, n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_k\}$ for each $i \in [k]$. Lemma 18 below proves that $\{1,3,3,3\}$ is not representable. We proceed by induction on k. If $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ contains a representable (k-1)-set, then Corollary 11 implies that $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ is also representable. Now assume that every (k-1)-set contained in $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ is not representable. By induction, we may assume that $k \leq 5$. Moreover, if k = 5 then $\{n_1, \ldots, n_5\}$ must contain $\{1, 3, 3, 3\}$ (since by induction all other 4-sets are representable). Similarly, if k = 4 then $\{n_1, \ldots, n_4\}$ must contain $\{1, 1, 3\}$, $\{1, 2, 3\}$, $\{1, 3, 3\}$, $\{2, 2, 3\}$, $\{2, 3, 3\}$ or $\{3, 3, 3\}$ (since $\{1, 1, 1\}$, $\{1, 1, 2\}$, $\{1, 2, 2\}$ and $\{2, 2, 2\}$ are representable by Theorem 2). The following table describes the required construction in each remaining case.

$\{1, 1, 1, x\}$	contains $\{1, 1, 1\}$	$\{1, 1, 2, x\}$	contains $\{1, 1, 2\}$
$\{1, 1, 3, 3\}$	Figure 1 minus $\{r_1, g_3, r_3, g_1\}$	$\{1, 2, 2, x\}$	contains $\{1, 2, 2\}$
$\{1, 2, 3, 3\}$	Figure 1 minus $\{g_1, g_3, r_3\}$	$\{2, 2, 2, x\}$	contains $\{2, 2, 2\}$
$\{2, 2, 3, 3\}$	Figure 1 minus $\{g_3, r_3\}$	$\{2, 3, 3, 3\}$	Figure 1 minus g_3
$\{1, 1, 3, 3, 3\}$	contains $\{1, 1, 3, 3\}$	$\{1, 2, 3, 3, 3\}$	contains $\{1, 2, 3, 3\}$
$\{1, 3, 3, 3, 3\}$	contains $\{3, 3, 3, 3\}$		

5. 4-BLOCKED POINT SETS

As we saw in Section 3, Conjecture 1 holds for $k \leq 4$. In this section we study 4-blocked point sets in more detail. First we derive explicit bounds on the size of 4-blocked sets from other results in the literature. Then, following a more detailed approach, we characterise all representable 4-sets, to conclude a tight bound on the size of 4-blocked sets.

Proposition 13. Every 4-blocked set has less than 2^{790} points.

Proof. Abel et al. [1] proved that every set of at least $\operatorname{ES}(\frac{(2\ell-1)^{\ell}-1}{2\ell-2})$ points in the plane contains ℓ collinear points or an empty convex pentagon, where $\operatorname{ES}(k)$ is the minimum integer such that every set of at least $\operatorname{ES}(k)$ points in general position in the plane contains k points in convex position. Let P be a 4-blocked set. The visibility graph of P is 4-colourable, and thus contains no empty convex pentagon. By Lemma 3, at most three points in P are collinear. Thus $|P| \leq \operatorname{ES}(400) - 1$ by the above result with $\ell = 4$. Toth and Valtr [11] proved that $\operatorname{ES}(k) \leq \binom{2k-5}{k-2} + 1$. Hence $|P| \leq \binom{795}{398} < 2^{790}$.

Lemma 14. If P is a blocked set of n points with m points in the largest colour class, then $n \ge 3m - 3$ and $n \ge (\frac{33}{8} - o(1))m$.

Proof. If S is the largest colour class then P-S blocks S. By Lemma 4, S is in general position. By the results of Matoušek [7] and Dumitrescu et al. [2] mentioned in Section 3, $n-m \ge 2m-3$ and $n-m \ge (\frac{25}{8} - o(1))m$.

Proposition 15. Every 4-blocked set has less than 2^{578} points.

Proof. Let P be a 4-blocked set of n points. Let S be the largest colour class in P. Let $m := |S| \ge \frac{n}{4}$. By Lemma 14, $n \ge (\frac{33}{8} - o(1))m \ge (\frac{33}{32} - o(1))n$. Thus $o(n) \ge \frac{n}{32}$. Hence n is bounded. A precise bound is obtained as follows. Dumitrescu et al. [2] proved that S needs at least $\frac{25m}{8} - \frac{25m}{2\ln m} - \frac{25}{8}$ blockers, which come from the other colour classes. Thus $n - m \ge \frac{25m}{8} - \frac{25m}{2\ln m} - \frac{25}{8}$, implying $n \ge \frac{33m}{8} - \frac{25m}{2\ln m} - \frac{25}{8}$. Since $\frac{n}{4} \le m \le n$, we have $\frac{25n}{2\ln n} + \frac{25}{8} \ge \frac{n}{32}$. It follows that $n \le 2^{578}$.

The next result is the simplest known proof that every 4-blocked point set has bounded size.

Proposition 16. Every 4-blocked set has at most 36 points.

Proof. Let P be a 4-blocked set. Suppose that $|P| \ge 37$. Let S be the largest colour class. Thus $|S| \ge 10$. By Lemma 4, S is in general position. By a theorem of Harborth [4], some 5-point subset $K \subseteq S$ is the vertex-set of an empty convex pentagon $\operatorname{conv}(K)$. Let T := $P \cap (\operatorname{conv}(K) - K)$. Since $\operatorname{conv}(K)$ is empty with respect to S, each point in T is not in S. Thus T is 3-blocked. K needs at least 8 blockers (5 blockers for the edges on the boundary of $\operatorname{conv}(K)$, and 3 blockers for the chords of $\operatorname{conv}(K)$). Thus $|T| \ge 8$. But every 3-blocked set has at most 6 points, which is a contradiction. Hence $|P| \le 36$.

We now set out to characterise all representable 4-sets. We need a few technical lemmas.

Lemma 17. Let A be a set of three monochromatic points in a 4-blocked set P. Then $P \cap \text{conv}(A)$ contains a point from each colour class.

Proof. $P \cap \operatorname{conv}(A)$ contains at least three points in A. If $P \cap \operatorname{conv}(A)$ contains no point from one of the three other colour classes, then $P \cap \operatorname{conv}(A)$ is a (≤ 3) -blocked set with three points in one colour class (A), contradicting Theorem 2.

Lemma 18. $\{3,3,3,1\}$ is not representable.

Proof. Let a * b * c mean that b blocks a and c, and let a * b * c * d mean that a * b * c and b * c * d. This allows us to record the order in which points occur on a line.

Suppose that P is a $\{3, 3, 3, 1\}$ -blocked set of points, with colour classes $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$, $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$, $C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$, and $D = \{d\}$.

If d does not block some monochromatic pair, then $P \setminus D$ is a 3-blocked set of nine points, contradicting Theorem 2. Therefore d blocks some monochromatic pair, which we may call a_1, a_2 . Now $\overleftarrow{a_1a_2}$ divides the remaining seven points of P into two sets, P_1 and P_2 , where WLOG $4 \leq |P_1| \leq 7$ and $0 \leq |P_2| \leq 3$. If $|P_1| \geq 6$, then $P_1 \cup \{a_1\}$ is a 3-blocked set of more than six points, contradicting Theorem 2. Thus $|P_1| = 4$ and $|P_2| = 3$, or $|P_1| = 5$ and $|P_2| = 2$. Consider the following cases, as illustrated in Figure 3.

Case 1. $|P_1| = 4$ and $|P_2| = 3$:

We have $a_3 \in P_1$ and $P_1 \neq \{a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ and $P_1 \neq \{a_3, c_1, c_2, c_3\}$, as otherwise P_1 is a 2blocked set of four points, contradicting Theorem 2. WLOG, $P_1 = \{a_3, b_1, b_2, c_1\}$ and $P_2 = \{b_3, c_2, c_3\}$, where $c_2 * b_3 * c_3$. Some point in P_1 blocks b_1 and b_2 . If $b_1 * a_3 * b_2$, then neither b_1 nor b_2 can block $\overline{a_3a_1}$ or $\overline{a_3a_2}$; thus c_1 blocks a_3 from both a_1 and a_2 , a contradiction. Therefore $b_1 * c_1 * b_2$. Since two of these three points must block $\overline{a_3a_1}$ and $\overline{a_3a_2}$, we may assume that $a_1 * b_1 * a_3$, and either $a_2 * b_2 * a_3$ or $a_2 * c_1 * a_3$.



FIGURE 3. Cases 1.1, 1.2, and 2.

Case 1.1. $a_2 * b_2 * a_3$: Since $c_2 * b_3 * c_3$, the only possible blockers for $\overline{b_1 b_3}$ are on $\overleftarrow{a_1 a_2}$. We cannot have $b_3 * a_1 * b_1$, for then $b_3 * a_1 * b_1 * a_3$. We cannot have $b_3 * a_2 * b_1$, for then $\overleftarrow{b_1 b_3}$ separates b_2 from a_1 and d, one of which needs to block $\overline{b_2 b_3}$. Therefore $b_1 * d * b_3$. Similarly, $b_2 * d * b_3$, a contradiction.

Case 1.2. $a_2 * c_1 * a_3$: Thus a_2 does not block $\overline{c_1c_2}$ or $\overline{c_1c_3}$. Therefore a_1 and d block $\overline{c_1c_2}$ and $\overline{c_1c_3}$. WLOG, $c_2 * a_1 * c_1$ and $c_3 * d * c_1$. Since $c_2 * b_3 * c_3$, the blocker for $\overline{b_1b_3}$ is on the same side of $\overline{c_1c_3}$ as a_1 , and on the same side of $\overline{b_1b_2}$ as a_1 . The only such points are a_1 , c_2 , and b_3 . Thus a_1 or c_2 block $\overline{b_1b_3}$. Now, c_2 does not block $\overline{b_1b_3}$, as otherwise $b_1 * c_2 * b_3 * c_3$. Similarly, a_1 does not block $\overline{b_1b_3}$, as otherwise $b_3 * a_1 * b_1 * a_3$. This contradiction concludes this case.

Case 2. $|P_1| = 5$ and $|P_2| = 2$:

We have $a_3 \in P_1$, as otherwise P_1 is 2-blocked, contradicting Theorem 2. WLOG, $P_1 = \{a_3, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2\}$ and $P_2 = \{b_3, c_3\}$. Note that a_3 must block at least one of $\overline{b_1 b_2}$, $\overline{c_1 c_2}$, because $P_1 \setminus \{a_3\}$ is too large to be 2-blocked; however, it cannot block both, for then there would be

no valid blockers left for a_3 . Thus WLOG $b_1 * a_3 * b_2$ and $c_1 * b_1 * c_2$. Since $a_3 \in \overline{b_1 b_2}$, neither b_1 nor b_2 block $\overline{a_3 a_1}$ or $\overline{a_3 a_2}$. Thus, WLOG, $a_1 * c_1 * a_3$ and $a_2 * c_2 * a_3$.

By Lemma 17, $P \cap \operatorname{conv}\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ contains some member of A, WLOG a_1 . We cannot have $a_1 \in \overline{c_1c_3}$, for then $c_3 * a_1 * c_1 * a_3$. Therefore a_1 is on the same side of $\overleftarrow{c_1c_3}$ as c_2 ; consequently, d and a_2 are, as well. It follows that $c_1 * b_3 * c_3$, and so b_3 sees b_2 .

Lemma 19. Let P be a 4-blocked set. Suppose that some colour class S of P contains a subset K, such that |K| = 4 and K is the vertex-set of a convex quadrilateral conv(K) that is empty with respect to S. Then P is $\{4, 2, 2, 1\}$ -blocked.

Proof. Let $T := P \cap (\operatorname{conv}(K) - K)$. Since $\operatorname{conv}(K)$ is empty with respect to S, each point in T is not in S. Thus T is 3-blocked. K needs at least 5 blockers (4 blockers for the edges on the boundary of $\operatorname{conv}(K)$, and at least 1 blocker for the chords of $\operatorname{conv}(K)$). The only representable 3-sets with at least 5 points are $\{2, 2, 1\}$ and $\{2, 2, 2\}$. Exactly four points in T are on the boundary of $\operatorname{conv}(T)$. Every $\{2, 2, 2\}$ -blocked set contains three points on the boundary of the convex hull. Thus T is $\{2, 2, 1\}$ -blocked. Hence, as illustrated in Figure 4, one point c in T is at the intersection of the two chords of $\operatorname{conv}(K)$, and exactly one point in T is on each edge of the boundary of $\operatorname{conv}(K)$, such that the points on opposite edges of $\operatorname{conv}(K)$ are collinear with c.



FIGURE 4. A $\{4, 2, 2, 1\}$ -blocked point set.

We claim that no other point is in P. Suppose otherwise, and let p be a point in P outside $\operatorname{conv}(K)$ at minimum distance from $\operatorname{conv}(K)$. Let x be a point in $\operatorname{conv}(K)$ receiving the same colour as p. Thus p and x are blocked by some point b in $\operatorname{conv}(K)$. Thus b and x are collinear with no other point in $P \cap \operatorname{conv}(K)$. Hence $x \neq c$ and $x \notin K$. Thus x is in the interior of one of the edges of the boundary of $\operatorname{conv}(K)$. Let y be the point in $\operatorname{conv}(K)$ receiving the same colour as x. Thus x and y are on opposite edges of the boundary of $\operatorname{conv}(K)$. Let y be the point in $\operatorname{conv}(K)$. Hence p and y receive the same colour, implying p and y are blocked. Since p is at minimum distance from $\operatorname{conv}(K)$, this blocker must a point z of $\operatorname{conv}(K)$. This implies that p, z, y and one other point of $\operatorname{conv}(K)$ are four collinear points, which contradicts Lemma 3. Hence no other point is in P, and P is $\{4, 2, 2, 1\}$ -blocked.

Lemma 19 has the following corollary (let K := S).

Corollary 20. Let P be a 4-blocked set. Suppose that some colour class S consists of exactly four points in convex position. Then P is $\{4, 2, 2, 1\}$ -blocked.

The next lemma is a key step in our characterisation of representable 4-sets.

Lemma 21. Each colour class in a 4-blocked point set has at most four points.

Proof. Suppose that some 4-blocked point set P has a colour class S with at least five points. Esther Klein [3] proved that every set of least five points in general position in the plane contains an empty quadrilateral. By Lemma 4, S is in general position. Thus S contains a subset K, such that |K| = 4 and K is the vertex-set of a convex quadrilateral conv(K) that is empty with respect to S. By Lemma 19, P is $\{4, 2, 2, 1\}$ -blocked, which is the desired contradiction.

Lemma 22. Let P be a 4-blocked point set with colour classes A, B, C, D. Suppose that no colour class consists of exactly four points in convex position (that is, Corollary 20 is not applicable). Furthermore, suppose that some colour class A consists of exactly four points in nonconvex position. Then P is $\{4, 2, 2, 2\}$ -blocked (as in Figure 5).



FIGURE 5. A $\{4, 2, 2, 2\}$ -blocked point set.

Proof. By Lemma 21, each colour class has at most four points. By assumption, every 4-point colour class is in nonconvex position. We may assume that A is a minimal in the sense that no other 4-point colour class is within conv(A).

Let $Q := P \cap \text{conv}(A)$. Thus Q is 4-blocked, and one colour class is A. By the minimality of A, each other colour class in Q has at most three points. We first prove that Q is $\{4, 2, 2, 2\}$ -blocked, and then show that this implies that P = Q.

Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$, where a_4 is the interior point of conv(A). Note that the edges with points in A divide conv(A) into three triangles with disjoint interiors. By Lemma 17, each colour class of Q is represented in each of these triangles; this requires at least two points of each colour (one of which could sit on the edge shared by two triangles).

We name a point with reference to its colour class, such as $b_1 \in B$; or, we name a point with reference to its position. Let x_{ij} be the unique member of $Q' := Q \setminus A$ that blocks $\overline{a_i a_j}$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$. This accounts for exactly 6 points of Q'.

Q is not $\{4,3,3,3\}$ -blocked, as otherwise we could delete the three outer members of A to represent $\{3,3,3,1\}$, which contradicts Lemma 18. Thus Q is $\{4,2,2,2\}$ -blocked, $\{4,3,2,2\}$ -blocked, or $\{4,3,3,2\}$ -blocked.

First suppose that Q is $\{4, 3, 2, 2\}$ -blocked. Then Q' consists of six points x_{ij} and one additional point, y. We have three cases:



FIGURE 6. Diagrams of $\{4, 3, 2, 2\}$ cases 1, 2, and 3.

- (1) If y blocks two points x_{i4} and x_{j4} , then suppose WLOG that $x_{24} * y * x_{34}$. Now y is on one side or the other of $\overleftarrow{x_{14}a_4}$, and therefore sees at least one of x_{12} , x_{13} ; WLOG we may say that y sees x_{12} . Now x_{12} , x_{14} , x_{24} , and y are four mutually visible points in Q'.
- (2) If y is collinear with, but does not block, two points x_{i4} and x_{j4} , then suppose WLOG that $y * x_{24} * x_{34}$. Now x_{12} is on one side or the other of $\overleftarrow{yx_{24}}$, and therefore sees either x_{14} or x_{23} . Whichever one x_{12} sees, that point is mutually visible with x_{12} , x_{24} , and y; thus we have four mutually visible points in Q'.
- (3) If y is not collinear with two points x_{i4} and x_{j4} , then y sees all such points; thus x_{14} , x_{24} , x_{34} , and y are four mutually visible points in Q'.

In all cases, we have four mutually visible points in three colours, which is impossible.

Now suppose that Q is $\{4, 3, 3, 2\}$ -blocked. Then Q' comprises six points x_{ij} and two additional points, y_1 and y_2 . Let $\delta = \{x_{i4} : 1 \le i \le 3\}$ and let δ_2 be the set of segments with endpoints in δ . How many of the points y_i block members of δ_2 ? Again, we have three cases:



FIGURE 7. Diagrams of $\{4, 3, 3, 2\}$ cases 1, 2, and 3.

- (1) If neither y_1 nor y_2 blocks a segment in δ_2 , then recall that y_1 and y_2 cannot both block each other. Thus, WLOG, y_2 does not block y_1 . Now δ and y_1 give us four mutually visible points in Q'.
- (2) If y_1 blocks a segment in δ_2 and y_2 does not, then WLOG $x_{24} * y_1 * x_{34}$. Also WLOG, suppose that a_2 and a_4 are on the same side of $\overleftarrow{x_{14}y_1}$, so $a_4 \notin \operatorname{conv}(x_{13}, x_{14}, x_{34}, y_1)$. Now $y_2 \in \operatorname{conv}(x_{13}, x_{14}, x_{34}, y_1)$, for otherwise these four points in Q' are mutually visible.

Also note that $\overline{y_1x_{12}}$ is the only edge with points in $\{x_{12}, x_{14}, x_{24}, y_1\}$ that can be blocked by a_4 . To prevent these four points from being mutually visible, we require $y_1 * a_4 * x_{12}$.

Thus y_1 and x_{12} belong to the same colour class, which we may suppose is B. Since x_{12}, x_{14} , and x_{24} are mutually visible, we may suppose that $x_{24} \in C$ and $x_{14} \in D$.

Since $x_{24} * y_1 * x_{34}$, we have $x_{34} \in C$. Since x_{23} sees x_{24} and y_1 , we have $x_{23} \in D$.

Now y_2 sees $y_1 \in B$ and $x_{34} \in C$, and we conclude that $y_2 \in D$; however, y_2 sees either x_{14} or x_{23} , and colour class D is not blocked.

(3) If both y_1 and y_2 block segments in δ_2 , then WLOG $x_{i4} * y_i * x_{34}$ for i = 1, 2. Now $\{x_{14}, x_{24}, a_4\}$ is insufficient to block x_{12} from $\{y_1, y_2\}$, for this would imply some $x_{12} * x_{i4} * y_i * x_{34}$. Therefore some y_i sees x_{12} ; now x_{12}, x_{14}, x_{24} , and y_i are four mutually visible points in Q'.

The only remaining case is that Q is $\{4, 2, 2, 2\}$ -blocked. This is possible, as illustrated in Figure 5. We now show that this point set is essentially the only $\{4, 2, 2, 2\}$ -blocked set, up to betweenness-preserving deformations. We have exactly enough points in colour classes B, C, and D to block all edges between points in colour class A. As each of the three A-triangles with point a_4 must contain a representative from each of the other three colour classes, it follows that of the six A-edges, B blocks one interior edge and the opposite boundary edge, and likewise for C and D. WLOG: $b_1 = x_{14}, b_2 = x_{23}, c_1 = x_{24}, c_2 = x_{13}, d_1 = x_{34}, d_2 = x_{12}$. Since b_1 blocks a_4, a_4 cannot block b_1 . Since $\overline{b_1 b_2}$ can be blocked only by an interior point of conv(A), it follows that either c_1 or d_1 blocks $\overline{b_1 b_2}$. As these cases are symmetric, we may choose $c_1 \in \overline{b_1 b_2}$. Now b_1 cannot block c_1 , so c_1 must be blocked by d_1 , which must in turn be blocked by b_1 .

Now we show that P = Q. (This basically says that the point set in Figure 5 cannot be extended without introducing a new colour.) Suppose to the contrary that some point x is in $P \setminus Q$; thus $x \notin \operatorname{conv}(A)$. Note that every point outside $\operatorname{conv}(A)$ can see a vertex of $\operatorname{conv}(A)$, so x must not be in colour class A. WLOG, we suppose x matches the points in B. Recall that b_2 is on the supporting line $\overleftarrow{a_2a_3}$, and will see x unless x is in the same half-plane (as determined by this line) as the rest of Q. Who blocks $\overline{b_1x}$? Not a_2 or a_3 , for this would put x in the wrong half-plane. Not a_1, a_4, d_1 , or d_2 , for b_1 blocks each of these. Not c_1 , which is on $\overline{b_1b_2}$. Therefore $\overline{b_1x}$ can be blocked only by c_2 . Since $c_1 \in \overline{b_1b_2}$ and $c_2 \in \overline{b_1x}$, it follows that b_2 and x (and any blocker between them) are on the same side of $\overleftarrow{c_1c_2}$. But the only other points of Q in that open half-plane are a_2 and a_3 , which cannot block b_2 . Thus x sees b_2 , which is a contradiction. Thus P = Q and P is $\{4, 2, 2, 2\}$ -blocked.

We now prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 23. A 4-set $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is representable if and only if

- $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{4, 2, 2, 1\}, or$
- $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{4, 2, 2, 2\}, or$
- all of $a, b, c, d \leq 3$ except for $\{3, 3, 3, 1\}$

Proof. Figures 4 and 5 respectively show $\{4, 2, 2, 1\}$ -blocked and $\{4, 2, 2, 2\}$ -blocked point sets. When $a, b, c, d \leq 3$, the required constructions are described in Proposition 12. Now we prove that these are the only representable 4-sets. Let P be a 4-blocked point set. By Lemma 21, each colour class has at most four points. Let S be the largest colour class. If $|S| \leq 3$ then we are done by Proposition 12. Now assume that |S| = 4. If S is in nonconvex position, then P is $\{4, 2, 2, 2\}$ -blocked by Lemma 22. If S is in convex position, then P is $\{4, 2, 2, 2\}$ -blocked by Lemma 22. If S is in convex position, then P is $\{4, 2, 2, 1\}$ -blocked by Corollary 20.

Corollary 24. Every 4-blocked set has at most 12 points, and there is a 4-blocked set with 12 points.

Note that in addition to the $\{3,3,3,3\}$ -blocked set shown in Figure 1, there is a different $\{3,3,3,3\}$ -blocked point set, as illustrated in Figure 8.



FIGURE 8. Another $\{3, 3, 3, 3\}$ -blocked point set.

6. MIDPOINT-BLOCKED POINT SETS

A k-blocked point set P is k-midpoint-blocked if for each monochromatic pair of distinct points $v, w \in P$ the midpoint of \overline{vw} is in P. Of course, the midpoint of \overline{vw} blocks v and w. A point set P is $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ -midpoint-blocked if it is $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ -blocked and k-midpoint-blocked. For example, the point set in Figure 1 is $\{3, 3, 3, 3\}$ -midpoint-blocked.

Another interesting example is the projection¹ of $[3]^d$. With d = 1 this point set is $\{2, 1\}$ -blocked, with d = 2 it is $\{4, 2, 2, 1\}$ -blocked, and with d = 3 it is $\{8, 4, 4, 4, 2, 2, 2, 1\}$ -blocked. In general, each set of points with exactly the same set of coordinates equal to 2 is a colour class, there are 2^{d-i} colour classes of points with exactly *i* coordinates equal to 2, and $[3]^d$ is $\{\binom{d}{i} \times 2^i : i \in [0, d]\}$ -midpoint-blocked and 2^d -midpoint-blocked.

We now prove Conjecture 1 when restricted to k-midpoint-blocked point sets. (Finally we have weakened Conjecture 5 to something proveable!)

Hernández-Barrera et al. [5] introduced the following definition. Let m(n) be the minimum number of midpoints determined by some set of n points in general position in the plane. Since the midpoint of \overline{vw} blocks v and w, we have $b(n) \leq m(n)$. Hernández-Barrera et al. [5] constructed a set of n points in general position in the plane that determine at most $cn^{\log 3}$ midpoints for some contant c. (All logarithms here are binary.) Thus $b(n) \leq m(n) \leq cn^{\log 3} = cn^{1.585...}$. This upper bound was improved by Pach [8] (and later by Matoušek [7]) to

$$b(n) \le m(n) \le nc^{\sqrt{\log n}}$$

Hernández-Barrera et al. [5] conjectured that m(n) is super-linear, which was verified by Pach [8]; that is, $\frac{m(n)}{n} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Pór and Wood [10] proved the following more precise version: For some constant c > 0, for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is an integer $N(\epsilon)$ such that $m(n) \ge cn(\log n)^{1/(3+\epsilon)}$ for all $n \ge N(\epsilon)$.

Theorem 25. For each k there is an integer n such that every k-midpoint-blocked set has at most n points. More precisely, there is an absolute constant c and for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is an an integer $N(\epsilon)$, such that for all k, every k-midpoint-blocked set has at most $k \max\{N(\epsilon), c^{(k-1)^{3+\epsilon}}\}$ points.

Proof. Let P be k-midpoint-blocked set of n points. If $n \leq kN(\epsilon)$ then we are done. Now assume that $\frac{n}{k} > N(\epsilon)$. Let S be a set of exactly $s := \lceil \frac{n}{k} \rceil$ monochromatic points in P. Thus S is in general position by Lemma 4. And for every pair of distinct points $v, w \in S$ the midpoint of \overline{vw} is in P - S. Thus

$$c_{\overline{k}}^{\underline{n}} (\log \frac{n}{k})^{1/(3+\epsilon)} \le m(s) \le n-s \le n(1-\frac{1}{k})$$

Hence $(\log \frac{n}{k})^{1/(3+\epsilon)} \leq (k-1)/c$, implying $n \leq k 2^{((k-1)/c)^{3+\epsilon}}$. The result follows.

We now construct k-midpoint-blocked point sets with a 'large' number of points. The method is based on the following product of point sets P and Q. For each point $v \in P \cup Q$, let (x_v, y_v) be the coordinates of v. Let $P \times Q$ be the point set $\{(v, w) : v \in P, w \in Q\}$ where (v, w) is at (x_v, y_v, x_w, y_w) in 4-dimensional space. For brevity we do not distinguish between a point in \mathbb{R}^4 and its image in an occlusion-free projection of the visibility graph of $P \times Q$ into \mathbb{R}^2 .

Lemma 26. If P is a $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ -midpoint-blocked point set and Q is a $\{m_1, \ldots, m_\ell\}$ -midpointblocked point set, then $P \times Q$ is $\{n_i m_j : i \in [k], j \in [\ell]\}$ -midpoint-blocked.

¹If G is the visibility graph of some point set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, then G is the visibility graph of some projection of P to \mathbb{R}^2 (since a random projection of P to \mathbb{R}^2 is occlusion-free with probability 1).

Proof. Colour each point (v, w) in $P \times Q$ by the pair (col(v), col(w)). Thus there are $n_i m_j$ points for the (i, j)-th colour class. Consider distinct points (v, w) and (a, b) in $P \times Q$.

Suppose that $\operatorname{col}(v, w) = \operatorname{col}(a, b)$. Thus $\operatorname{col}(v) = \operatorname{col}(a)$ and $\operatorname{col}(w) = \operatorname{col}(b)$. Since P and Q are midpoint-blocked, $\frac{1}{2}(v+a) \in P$ and $\frac{1}{2}(w+b) \in Q$. Thus $(\frac{1}{2}(v+a), \frac{1}{2}(w+b))$, which is positioned at $(\frac{1}{2}(x_v+x_a), \frac{1}{2}(y_v+y_a), \frac{1}{2}(x_w+x_b), \frac{1}{2}(y_w+y_b))$, is in $P \times Q$. This point is the midpoint of (v, w)(a, b). Thus (v, w) and (a, b) are blocked by their midpoint in $P \times Q$.

Conversely, suppose that some point $(r,s) \in P \times Q$ blocks (v,w) and (a,b). Thus $x_r = \alpha x_v + (1-\alpha)x_a$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$, and $y_r = \beta y_v + (1-\beta)y_a$ for some $\beta \in (0,1)$, and $x_s = \delta x_w + (1-\delta)x_b$ for some $\delta \in (0,1)$, and $y_s = \gamma y_w + (1-\gamma)y_b$ for some $\gamma \in (0,1)$. Hence r blocks v and a in P, and s blocks w and b in Q. Thus $\operatorname{col}(v) = \operatorname{col}(a) \neq \operatorname{col}(r)$ in P, and $\operatorname{col}(w) = \operatorname{col}(b) \neq \operatorname{col}(s)$ in Q, implying $(\operatorname{col}(v), \operatorname{col}(w)) = (\operatorname{col}(a), \operatorname{col}(b))$.

We have shown that two points in $P \times Q$ are blocked if and only if they have the same colour. Thus $P \times Q$ is blocked. Since every blocker is a midpoint, $P \times Q$ is midpoint-blocked.

Say P is a k-midpoint blocked set of n points. By Lemma 26, the *i*-fold product $P^i := P \times \cdots \times P$ is a k^i -blocked set of $n^i = (k^i)^{\log_k n}$ points. Taking P to be the $\{3, 3, 3, 3\}$ -midpoint-blocked point set in Figure 1, we obtain the following result:

Theorem 27. For all k a power of 4, there is a k-blocked set of $k^{\log_4 12} = k^{1.79...}$ points.

This result describes the largest known construction of k-blocked or k-midpoint blocked point sets. To promote further research, we make the following strong conjectures:

Conjecture 28. Every k-blocked point set has $O(k^2)$ points.

Conjecture 29. In every k-blocked point set there are at most k points in each colour class.

Conjecture 29 would be tight for the projection of $[3]^d$ with $k = 2^d$. Of course, Conjecture 29 implies Conjecture 28, which implies Conjecture 1.

Acknowledgements

This research was initiated at The 24th Bellairs Winter Workshop on Computational Geometry, held in February 2009 at the Bellairs Research Institute of McGill University in Barbados. The authors are grateful to Godfried Toussaint and Erik Demaine for organising the workshop, and to the other participants for providing a stimulating working environment.

References

- [1] ZACHARY ABEL, BRAD BALLINGER, PROSENJIT BOSE, SÉBASTIEN COLLETTE, VIDA DUJMOVIĆ, FERRAN HURTADO, SCOTT D. KOMINERS, STEFAN LANGERMAN, ATTILA PÓR, AND DAVID R. WOOD. Every large point set contains many collinear points or an empty pentagon. In Proc. 21st Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry (CCCG '09), pp. 99–102. 2009. http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.0262.
- [2] ADRIAN DUMITRESCU, JÁNOS PACH, AND GÉZA TÓTH. A note on blocking visibility between points. *Geombinatorics*, 19(1):67-73, 2009. http://www.cs.uwm.edu/faculty/ ad/blocking.pdf.

- [3] PAUL ERDŐS AND GEORGE SZEKERES. A combinatorial problem in geometry. Composito Math., 2:464–470, 1935.
- [4] HEIKO HARBORTH. Konvexe Fünfecke in ebenen Punktmengen. *Elem. Math.*, 33(5):116–118, 1978.
- [5] ANTONIO HERNÁNDEZ-BARRERA, FERRAN HURTADO JORGE URRUTIA, AND CARLOS ZAMORA. On the midpoints of a plane point set, 2001. Unpublished manuscript.
- [6] JAN KÁRA, ATTILA PÓR, AND DAVID R. WOOD. On the chromatic number of the visibility graph of a set of points in the plane. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 34(3):497–506, 2005. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00454-005-1177-z.
- [7] JIŘÍ MATOUŠEK. Blocking visibility for points in general position. Discrete Comput. Geom., 42(2):219-223, 2009. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00454-009-9185-z.
- [8] JÁNOS PACH. Midpoints of segments induced by a point set. Geombinatorics, 13(2):98–105, 2003.
- [9] ROM PINCHASI. On some unrelated problems about planar arrangements of lines. In Workshop II: Combinatorial Geometry. Combinatorics: Methods and Applications in Mathematics and Computer Science. Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics, UCLA, 2009. http://11011110.livejournal.com/184816.html.
- [10] ATTILA PÓR AND DAVID R. WOOD. On visibility and blockers. 2009. http://arxiv. org/abs/0912.1150.
- [11] GÉZA TÓTH AND PAVEL VALTR. The Erdős-Szekeres theorem: upper bounds and related results. In *Combinatorial and computational geometry*, vol. 52 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pp. 557–568. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2005.

BLOCKING COLOURED POINT SETS

INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION SCIENCE, ACADEMIA SINICA TAIPEI, TAIWAN *E-mail address*: aloupis.greg@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY ARCATA, CALIFORNIA, U.S.A *E-mail address*: bjb86@humboldt.edu

CHARGÉ DE RECHERCHES DU F.R.S.-FNRS DÉPARTEMENT D'INFORMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES BRUSSELS, BELGIUM *E-mail address*: sebastien.collette@ulb.ac.be

MAÎTRE DE RECHERCHES DU F.R.S.-FNRS DÉPARTEMENT D'INFORMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES BRUSSELS, BELGIUM *E-mail address*: stefan.langerman@ulb.ac.be

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESTERN KENTUCKY UNIVERSITY BOWLING GREEN, KENTUCKY, U.S.A. *E-mail address:* attila.por@wku.edu

QEII RESEARCH FELLOW DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE MELBOURNE, AUSTRALIA *E-mail address*: woodd@unimelb.edu.au