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ABSTRACT
We investigate the effect of black hole spin on warped or misaligned accretion discs — in particular i) whether or not the inner disc edge aligns with the black hole spin and ii) whether the disc can maintain a smooth transition between an aligned inner disc and a misaligned outer disc, known as the Bardeen-Petterson effect. We employ high resolution 3D smoothed particle hydrodynamics simulations of α-discs subject to Lense-Thirring precession, focussing on the bending wave regime where the disc viscosity is smaller than the aspect ratio $\alpha \lesssim H/R$.

We first address the controversy in the literature regarding possible steady-state oscillations of the tilt close to the black hole. We successfully recover such oscillations in 3D at both small and moderate inclinations ($\lesssim 15^\circ$), provided both Lense-Thirring and Einstein precession are present, sufficient resolution is employed, and the disc is not so thick so as to simply accrete misaligned. Second, we find that discs inclined by more than a few degrees in general steepen and break rather than maintain a smooth transition, again in contrast to previous findings, but only once the disc scale height is adequately resolved. Finally, we find that when the disc plane is misaligned to the black hole spin by a large angle, the disc ‘tears’ into discrete rings which precess effectively independently and cause rapid accretion, consistent with previous findings in the diffusive regime ($\alpha \gtrsim H/R$). Thus misalignment between the disc and the spin axis of the black hole provides a robust mechanism for growing black holes quickly, regardless of whether the disc is thick or thin.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Bardeen & Petterson (1975) first computed the evolution of a warped accretion disc subjected to Lense-Thirring precession (Lense & Thirring 1918) caused by frame-dragging from the spin of a central black hole. Although their original equations were later shown to be incorrect (Papaloizou & Pringle 1983), their qualitative findings of an aligned inner disc smoothly connected to a misaligned outer disc — the ‘Bardeen-Petterson effect’ — has been confirmed both from subsequent 1D calculations with corrected equations (Kumar & Pringle 1985; Pringle 1992) and in three dimensional smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) simulations by Nelson & Papaloizou (2000).

Papaloizou & Pringle (1983) showed that there were two regimes for warp propagation in discs depending on the ratio of the effective viscosity $\alpha$ to the aspect ratio $H/R$. For $\alpha \gtrsim H/R$, warps can be described with a diffusion equation, whereas for $\alpha \lesssim H/R$ they propagate as bending waves at half the sound speed (Papaloizou & Lin 1995). Previous studies by Kumar & Pringle (1985) and Pringle (1992) were performed in the diffusive regime. The first studies performed in the bending wave regime (Ivanov & Illarionov 1997; Lubow, Ogilvie & Pringle 2002, hereafter LOP02) suggested a conflict with the Bardeen-Petterson picture — finding that the black hole spin could drive the disc tilt into a steady state that is oscillatory and non-zero. This implies that the inner edge of the disc may be misaligned with respect to the black hole spin. As simple pictures of gas accretion favour alignment of the outer accretion disc with the galaxy disc, this has been suggested as an explanation for the observed random orientation of jets with respect to their host galaxies (Kinney et al. 2000; LOP02). However, unlike the diffusive regime for which there now exists a full non-linear theory describing warps of arbitrary amplitude and $\alpha$ (Ogilvie 1999, 2000) only linear theory exists for the bending wave regime (Papaloizou & Lin 1995; Lubow & Ogilvie 2000; LOP02; though see Ogilvie 2006); hence these studies apply only to small amplitude warps and could not account for non-linear effects.

The first 3D numerical simulations of the Bardeen-Petterson effect were performed by Nelson & Papaloizou (2000), using a post-Newtonian description of the central potential. Their simulations in both the wavelike and diffusive regimes largely confirmed the Bardeen-Petterson effect, namely an aligned inner edge, a smooth transition to the outer disc and importantly, no evidence of
oscillations in the tilt. The reason for the discrepancy with LOP02 is unclear, with Nelson & Papaloizou (2000) claiming that ‘non-linear effects lead to the damping of these short wavelength features’ whilst LOP02 show that these features are not small compared to the local disc scale height. Further complicating matters, recent simulations that included a full general relativistic (GR) treatment may have found these oscillations and a non-zero tilt at the inner edge (Fragile et al. 2007; Zhuravlev et al. 2014).

A further challenge to the Bardeen-Petterson description has arisen from recent simulations of warped discs in the diffusive regime (α ≳ H/R). 3D simulations of warps in isolated discs have demonstrated close agreement with the non-linear theory of Ogilvie (1999) (see Lodato & Price 2010). However, in simulations of warps driven by Lense-Thirring precession it was found that instead of maintaining a smooth transition (as described by the linear Bardeen-Petterson effect), discs break when the angle between the disc and the black hole spin is more than a few degrees (Nixon et al. 2012b). Rings of gas were found to be torn off the disc, precessing effectively independently before being accreted. The net effect was a much higher accretion rate on to the black hole. Thus the Bardeen-Petterson idea of a smooth transition does not appear to hold in the diffusive regime for an arbitrary choice of parameters. It is not clear whether or not misaligned discs in the wavelike regime will also break.

Here we reexamine the Bardeen-Petterson effect in black hole accretion discs. Building on the success of earlier studies we use the SPH code PHANTOM to model warped discs in 3D (Lodato & Price 2010; Nixon 2012; Nixon, King & Price 2012a; Nixon et al. 2012b; Facchini, Lodato & Price 2013; Nixon, King & Price 2013; Martin et al. 2014a, Martin et al. 2014b). Here we focus on the wavelike propagation regime (α ≳ H/R). We start by considering the possible reasons for the discrepancy between LOP02 and Nelson & Papaloizou (2000), as well as two possible complications to the Bardeen-Petterson picture of an aligned inner disc smoothly joined to a misaligned outer disc in Section 2. We present the numerical method and tests of wavelike warp propagation with SPH in Section 3. In Section 4 we present a suite of 3D simulations designed to confirm under what circumstances the Bardeen-Petterson description holds. In Section 5 we discuss the implications of these and in Section 6 we outline our conclusions.

An obvious caveat is that we do not consider magnetic fields, even though it is widely accepted that magnetorotational instability (MRI) is the controlling mechanism for viscosity in the disc (Balbus & Hawley 1991). However, we can still capture the dominant behaviour in the disc as magnetic fields have been shown to have little effect on the geometrical evolution (Sorathia, Krolik & Hawley 2013). We also follow Nelson & Papaloizou (2000) in using a post-Newtonian approach instead of general relativity (GR). As we will see, one of the findings of this paper is that this approximation must be considered carefully in order to capture the combination of relativistic effects that lead to tilt oscillations.

2 DOES THE BARDEEN-PETTERSON EFFECT HOLD IN THE WAavelike REGIME?

We consider three possible ways that the Bardeen-Petterson effect may be violated in wavelike discs. Firstly, radial oscillations in the tilt of the disc may prevent the disc from aligning at the inner edge. Secondly, the smooth transition between aligned and misaligned material may be broken if the disc tears, as has been observed in the diffusive regime (Nixon & King 2012; Nixon et al. 2012b). Finally, it may not be possible for the disc to find a steady state if the disc is relatively thick and the viscous time is short.

2.1 Is the inner disc aligned?

LOP02 considered warps in geometrically thin, almost Keplerian discs described by a surface density Σ(R) and angular velocity Ω(R). The scale-height of the disc is given by H(R) ≡ c_s/Ω, where c_s(R) is the sound speed in the disc. Their description is one dimensional in the sense that the total angular momentum in the disc L is a function only of the cylindrical radial coordinate, R. The disc is then discretised into a series of rings, each described by the orientation of its tilt and twist angle. The tilt angle β is measured from the z-axis, and if this angle varies with radius the disc is considered to be warped. The twist angle γ is measured from an axis that is perpendicular to the z-axis, and similarly, if the twist angle varies with radius the disc is twisted. These two angles can be related to the unit angular momentum vector by l = L/L = (cos γ sin β, sin γ sin β, cos β) (Pringle 1996).

Here we analyse the α disc viscosity where ν = αc_sH (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973). For accretion discs with α ≳ H/R, the warp propagates as a dispersive wave (Papaloizou & Pringle 1983; Papaloizou & Lin 1995). Assuming that the disc is nearly Keplerian and not self-gravitating, the equations of motion describing the wave propagation are (Lubow & Ogilvie 2000; Lubow et al. 2002)

\[ ΣR^2Ω \frac{∂l}{∂t} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{∂G}{∂R} + T, \]

\[ \frac{∂G}{∂t} - \frac{Ω^2 - κ^2}{2Ω} I × G + αΩG = ΣR^2Ω^2 \frac{κ}{4} \frac{∂l}{∂R}. \]

These equations describe the propagation of a warp in the linear regime, and were solved numerically by LOP02 to find the steady state shape of the disc around a Kerr black hole. In this case the apsidal and nodal precession frequencies in the disc (scaled by Ω) can be approximated to first order from the Kerr metric as (Kato 1990)

\[ Ω_{\text{LOP}} = \frac{κ^2 - Ω^2}{2Ω^2} = -3 \frac{R_s}{2R}, \]

\[ ζ_{\text{LOP}} = \frac{Ω^2 - κ^2}{2Ω^2} = -a \left( \frac{R_s}{R} \right)^{3/2}, \]

where R_s = 2GM/c^2 and a is the black hole spin. These frequencies are used in the solution by inserting them directly into Equations 3 and 4. An example of the solution by LOP02 is shown in the left of Figure 1, with the same parameters used in their work. The steady state solution is formed from the interaction of the ingoing and the outgoing bending waves, where the outgoing waves are created by the reflection of the ingoing waves at the inner boundary.
It is known that the relative signs of the apsidal and nodal frequencies determines whether the solution is oscillatory or not (Ivanov & Illarionov 1997). The frequencies used by LOP02 have the same sign, leading to radial oscillations in the steady state tilt profile. We confirm that the oscillatory profile is dependent only on the signs of the frequencies by changing the sign of $\zeta_L$ (equivalent to modelling a retrograde black hole, see LOP02, Figure 6) in the right hand panel of Figure 1. The two solutions evolve in the same manner with the exception of the oscillations near the inner edge.

While one is free to set the precession frequencies directly when solving Equations 3 and 4, in 3D the nodal precession can be induced directly (e.g. using the post-Newtonian description of Lense-Thirring precession from a spinning black hole) and the apsidal precession (i.e. Einstein precession) arises indirectly from the central potential. Hence, it is possible for the choice of potential to preclude oscillations from the steady state solution in 3D simulations. It is then not surprising that simulations that do not take the apsidal precession into account as above also do not report tilt oscillations (Sorathia et al. 2013). However, simulations by Nelson & Papaloizou (2000) did make use of a potential that resulted in apsidal and nodal precession frequencies with the same sign but did not find oscillations. Here we use high resolution simulations along with a potential that leads to precession frequencies of the same sign to investigate this discrepancy.

2.2 When does the disc break?

The derivation of Equations 1 and 2 assumes that the inclination of the disc is linear. From previous results in the non-linear regime we would anticipate that the disc may break when the external torque applied to the disc is stronger than the internal torque. Here the internal disc communication is governed by a combination of pressure and viscosity. The viscous torque that acts between successive, discrete rings in the disc is given by (Lynden-Bell & Pringle 1974)

$$G = 3\pi\nu\Sigma(GMR)^{1/2}.$$  

$Lense-Thirring$ precession causes the rings that make up the disc to precess. Per unit area on the disc, this torque is given by (e.g. Nixon et al. 2012b)

$$T = \frac{GM}{2\alpha} \Sigma R^2 \Omega \sin \theta \left( \frac{R_{\text{g}}}{R} \right)^3,$$  

where $R_{\text{g}} = GM/c^2$, $\alpha$ is the black hole spin and $\theta$ is the angle between the plane of the disc and the direction of the black hole spin. If the external torque applied to the disc is greater than the internal torque maintaining the disc, the rings will precess independently faster than the disc is able to communicate the precession (Nixon et al. 2012b). This will result in the disc being separated and breaking, perhaps into differentially precessing rings. Assuming that the disc has no initial warp and that internal communication is dominated by viscosity, a comparison of the above torques predicts a maximum radius that it is possible for this to occur (Nixon et al. 2013)

$$R_{\text{break}} \lesssim \left( \frac{4\alpha}{3\alpha_0} \right) \sin \theta \left( \frac{H}{R} \right)^{-1/3} R_{\text{g}}.$$  

This approximate relationship places an upper bound on the breaking radius of the disc at a given angle. For the typical parameters used in this paper, we have $H/R = 0.05$, $R_{\text{out}} = 40R_{\text{in}}$, $\alpha = 0.01$ and $\alpha = 0.9$. At the outer edge of the disc, the above relation then reduces to

$$R_{\text{break}} \lesssim 45R_{\text{in}} \sin \theta^{2/3} R_{\text{g}}.$$  

This predicts that tearing may occur in the disc for inclinations of more than $6^\circ$. At this inclination or greater one would expect the discs to be parked rather than align. However, in the bending wave regime that we consider here, the internal communication is dominated by pressure. In this case we can estimate the radius at which the disc will break by comparing the sound crossing and the precession timescales in the disc. Following Nixon et al. (2013) and assuming that the disc is inviscid (and hence not taking into account any wave damping) we find that

$$R_{\text{break,s}} \lesssim \left( \frac{4\alpha_0}{3\alpha} \sin \theta \right) \left( \frac{R}{H} \right)^{2/3} R_{\text{g}}.$$  
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We therefore only expect the disc to break closer to the black hole than Equation 10.

### 2.3 Can the disc accrete misaligned?

A further assumption made in developing Equations 1 and 2 was that the viscous timescale in the disc is much larger than any other timescale, equivalent to assuming that the disc is replenished from radii outside the computational domain, or $\alpha \ll H/R$ (Lubow et al. 2002). This implies that the surface density profile does not change during the evolution of these equations, which is valid until the warp reaches the outer boundary. We can quantify this approximation during the evolution of the equations by considering the ratio of the wave and viscous timescales (as in Lodato & Pringle 2006; Facchini et al. 2013)

$$\frac{t_{\text{wave}}}{\tau_v} = \frac{2Rv}{\alpha c R^2} = 2\alpha \frac{H}{R}.$$  

For $\alpha < H/R \ll 1$, the above relation implies that the viscous time is much greater than the sound crossing time that the warp communicates, so we can neglect mass accretion. Indeed, LOP02 neglected the evolution of the surface density profile completely in their solution, equivalent to assuming no mass is accreted at all. However for their disc $H/R = 0.1030$, and so it is not clear that this assumption holds. Additionally, the viscous time can be written as

$$\tau_v = \frac{1}{\omega} \left( \frac{H}{R} \right)^2.$$  

In this form, it is clear that increasing the aspect ratio of a disc results in a significant decrease in the viscous time. At a given radius $R$, when the viscous timescale is comparable to (or smaller than) the precession timescale at that same radius, accretion dominates. In thick discs (or tori) it may then be possible for the material in the outer disc to be accreted before it has a chance to align. The tilt profile in this case will not reach a steady state but instead be determined by the inward flux of angular momentum. Thus in relatively thick discs no tilt oscillations would be expected.

### 3 NUMERICAL METHOD

We use 3D simulations to investigate whether the Bardeen-Petterson effect holds in accretion discs focussing on tilt oscillations, large inclinations and misaligned accretion in the limit where $\alpha \lesssim H/R$. We use PHANTOM, an efficient and low memory SPH code (Lodato & Pringle 2010; Price & Federrath 2010; Price 2012). This code has been widely used to model warped discs in the diffusive regime and to investigate related problems with warped wave-like discs (see Section 1). The physical disc viscosity in the disc is represented in the code using the artificial viscosity ($\alpha_{\text{AV}}$) method outlined in Lodato & Pringle (2010).

#### 3.1 Modelling Lense-Thirring Precession

The Lense-Thirring precession exerted by the black hole is modelled using a post-Newtonian approximation, represented as a first order (in $v/c$) correction in the momentum equation. Taking this into account, the momentum equation becomes (Nelson & Papaloizou 2000)

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P + v \times h - \nabla \Phi + S_{\text{visc}}.$$  

Here $S_{\text{visc}}$ is the viscous force per unit mass and $v \times h$ is the gravomagnetic force per unit mass, with $h$ given by

$$h = \frac{2S}{R^3} \frac{6(S \cdot r)r}{R^5},$$  

and $S = \alpha G^2 M^2 k/c^3$, pointing in same direction as the black hole spin.

Assuming a thin disc with linear disturbances, the quantity $v \times h$ can be expressed as

$$v \times h = \frac{2S}{R^3} \left( v \times \hat{k} \right) + \frac{6S(xr \times v)}{R^5}.$$  

This expression is mainly useful for setting up the initial orbital velocities for the disc particles (Section 3.2).

#### 3.1.1 Implementation in Leapfrog

A complication to the implementation in the code is that we use a leapfrog integrator in the ‘Velocity-Verlet’ form, where the positions and velocities of the particles are updated from time $t^n$ to $t^{n+1}$ according to

$$v_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = v_n + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t a^n,$$  

$$x^{n+1} = x^n + \Delta t v^{n+\frac{1}{2}},$$  

$$v^{n+1} = v^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t a^{n+1}.$$  

However, the acceleration caused by Lense-Thirring precession depends on velocity. Thus (19) becomes implicit. This can be easily solved by writing the corrector step in the form

$$v^{n+1} = v^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t a_{\text{pos}}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t \left( v^{n+1} \times h^{n+1} \right),$$  

where $a_{\text{pos}}^{n+1}$ contains the position-dependent terms. This forms a set of three linear equations for each component of $v^{n+1}$, that we solve analytically by inverting the resulting 3 x 3 matrix.

#### 3.1.2 Potentials

We make use of two gravitational potentials in this work. The first was previously introduced by Nelson & Papaloizou (2000), referred to as the Einstein potential (see their Equation 8). In our notation it is given as

$$\Phi_E(R) = -\frac{GM}{R} \left( 1 + \frac{3R_E}{R} \right).$$  

This potential was introduced because it prevents the gravitational force tending to infinity as the radius decreases. However, it also results in the correct apsidal precession frequency at large distances from the black hole and has the same sign as the nodal frequency (Nelson & Papaloizou 2000). This is in contrast to the standard Keplerian potential

$$\Phi(R) = -\frac{GM}{R^2}.$$  

The standard potential (22) was used in all of the non-linear simulations, except for Figures 4–7 where (21) was used.
3.1.3 Precession Frequencies

We calculate the apsidal and nodal precession frequencies in our disc using the standard (Newtonian) definitions for the epicyclic and vertical frequencies,

\[ \kappa^2 = 4\Omega^2 + \frac{R}{d}\frac{d}{dR}(\Omega^2), \]  

(23)

\[ \Omega_z^2 = \left. \frac{\partial^2 \Phi(R)}{\partial z^2} \right|_{z=0}. \]  

(24)

Following Nelson & Papaloizou (2000), we compute these using an effective potential that takes into account the second and third terms of Equation 14,

\[ \Phi_{\text{eff}}(R) = \Phi(R) + \frac{4S\sqrt{GM}}{5R^{5/2}} - \frac{6Sz^2\sqrt{GM}}{R^{1/2}}. \]  

(25)

This potential accounts for both the normal Keplerian potential and the correction due to the \( v \times h \) term, where \( \Phi(R) \) could be represented by either Equation 21 or 22. Firstly considering the Einstein potential, using Equations 23 and 24 the post-Newtonian apsidal and nodal precession frequencies (scaled by \( \Omega \)) are given by

\[ \eta_{\text{PN}} = \frac{-1}{2\Omega^2} \left[ \frac{6GMR_s}{R^4} - \frac{3S\sqrt{GM}}{R^{9/2}} \right], \]  

(26)

\[ \zeta_{\text{PN}} = \frac{-2S\sqrt{GM}}{R^{5/2}\Omega^2}, \]  

(27)

where \( \Omega^2 \) is given by

\[ \Omega_{\text{PN}}^2 \equiv \frac{GM}{R^3} \left( 1 + \frac{6R_s}{R} \right) - \frac{2S\sqrt{GM}}{R^{9/2}}. \]  

(28)

As the signs of the apsidal and nodal precession frequencies here are the same throughout the disc, this potential will allow an oscillatory profile to develop. Considering now a standard post-Newtonian potential, given by Equation 22, we find the apsidal and nodal precession frequencies to be (again scaled by \( \Omega \))

\[ \eta_{\text{PN}} = \frac{3S}{2\sqrt{GM}R^{3/2} - 4S}, \]  

(29)

\[ \zeta_{\text{PN}} = \frac{-4S}{2\sqrt{GM}R^{3/2} - 4S}. \]  

(30)

Here we note an important difference with respect to the solution used by LOP02. As the signs of the precession frequencies here are opposite, the steady state tilt profile will not have oscillations if the potential in Equation 22 is used.

3.2 Initial Conditions and Scope

Unless otherwise stated, the discs presented all made use of \( 10^7 \) particles, and simulations with \( 10^6 \) and \( 10^5 \) were also conducted to check convergence. We note that each time the resolution is changed between simulations with otherwise the same parameters, the artificial viscosity is altered according to the scaling described in Lodato & Price (2010) so that the discs have the same \( \alpha \) independent of which resolution is used. The locally isothermal sound speed in the disc was set to \( c_s(R) = c_{s,\text{in}}(R/R_{\text{in}})^{-q} \) and the surface density profile \( \Sigma(R) = \Sigma_{\text{in}}(R/R_{\text{in}})^{-p} \), where \( p = 3/2 \) and \( q = 3/4 \) to give a constant \( \alpha \) viscosity in the disc and uniform resolution (Lodato & Pringle 2007). Each disc was initially set up aligned to the black hole spin, with the particles arranged using a Monte Carlo placement method. Each particle was then rotated by the inclination angle and assigned a velocity according to the following expression derived from Equation 16,

\[ v_\phi = \frac{v_s^4}{c^3} \left[ \frac{\alpha^2 \left( \frac{R^2}{R_e^2} - a \right) }{\cos^2(\theta)} \right], \]  

(31)

where \( v_s \) is the Keplerian sound speed. The discs were therefore initially tilted to the black hole spin, but not warped. The results presented below have time shown in orbits at the inner edge and show the tilt as a function of radius only. This was found from the simulations using the method outlined in Section 3.2.6 of Lodato & Price (2010) where we used \( N = 300 \) spherical shells. For all of the simulations the inner radius was set as \( R_{\text{in}} = 4R_e \), in order to compare to the LOP02 1D code. At small radii we note that the absence of GR limits the validity of our results, and indeed the need to carefully account for relativistic effects is one of our findings.

3.3 Test of wavelike warp propagation

To confirm that we can correctly describe the propagation of warps in the wavelike regime, we use the test described by Fragner & Nelson (2010). They simulated a wavelike accretion disc with a point mass potential and compared to a 1D calculation, finding agreement at the \( \sim 10\% \) level. We choose to compare to this 1D solution instead of the solution from Equations 1 and 2 because the linear solution from Fragner & Nelson (2010) allows the surface density to evolve, as occurs in our 3D simulations.

We conduct a simulation using the same parameters as cited in their Figure 1, with \( H/R = 0.03 \) and \( \alpha = 0.001 \) and an initial disturbance of \( 5\% \). In this case we do not drive the evolution with Lense-Thirring precession, so that we can isolate the behaviour due to warp propagation only. Our results are shown in Figure 2 using \( 10^6 \) and \( 10^5 \) particles. As the disc evolves, the disturbance splits into two waves travelling at half the sound speed (as predicted by Papaloizou & Lin 1995); one inward and the other outward. By the
end of the simulation these have fully separated and are beginning to interact with the boundaries.

The SPH solution shows the same behaviour as the 1D solution, and increasing the resolution reduces the discrepancy. However, at late times the inner edge of the disc there is increasing disagreement, most likely due to differences in the inner boundary condition. This test confirms that PHANTOM can be used to describe the propagation of warps in the wavelike regime.

3.4 Test of Lense-Thirring precession

We also perform a simple test of the Lense-Thirring precession. We simulate a disc consisting of test particles with no viscosity and zero sound speed (i.e. $\alpha = c_a = 0$) subject to Lense-Thirring precession. The initial velocities are set using Equation 31 and the disc is inclined at $30^\circ$. We then calculate the precession in the disc as a function of the radius using the procedure outlined in Appendix A.

Figure 3 shows the comparison between the precession measured from our disc and the predicted precession in the disc, given by $t_p = R^2/(2a)$. We find agreement to within measurement uncertainties throughout the disc.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Tilt Oscillations

We first investigated whether or not the tilt oscillations predicted by Lubow et al. (2002) are physical using 3D simulations. The disc is initiated with a constant misalignment of $3^\circ$, within the linear regime required by Equations 1 and 2. We chose parameters for our simulation similar to that of Lubow et al. (2002), with the exception of the surface density profile, the black hole spin and the disc thickness. Additionally, we made use of the Einstein potential outlined in Equation 21, in order to give precession frequencies of the same sign (Equations 26 and 27). We set $p = 1.5$ and $q = 0.75$ so that the disc is uniformly resolved, as discussed in Section 3.2. The disadvantage is that this results in lower amplitude oscillations in the 1D code. To combat this we encourage larger amplitude oscillations by increasing the spin to $a = 0.9$ and decreasing the disc thickness to $H/R = 0.05$. The evolution of the tilt as a function of radius is shown in Figure 4 from a simulation employing $10^7$ particles.

One of the main differences between this simulation and those conducted by Nelson & Papaloizou (2000) is the angle of inclination. While our $3^\circ$ initial tilt was well in the linear regime required by the analytic description in Equations 1 and 2, the minimum inclination used by Nelson & Papaloizou (2000) was $10^\circ$. We explore the effect of non-linear inclinations in this potential by misaligning the same disc at $15^\circ$. Figure 5 shows a cross section of density in the inner disc from this calculation. The tilt profile after $\sim 600$ orbits is qualitatively similar to Figure 4, showing the same evolution. The quantitative tilt evolution is resolution-dependent, but nevertheless a non-zero tilt and oscillations were found at both medium ($10^6$ particles) and high ($10^7$ particles) resolution.

Figure 6 shows a resolution study of the tilt and surface density profiles using $10^5$, $10^6$ and $10^7$ particles. The main artefact of low resolution is that accretion occurs faster and as a result there is less mass at the inner edge in the lower resolution calculations. Comparison of the surface density profiles indicates that $\Sigma(R)$ is not fully converged near the inner edge, which has a dramatic effect on the tilt profiles. However, in all discs there is a non-zero tilt at the inner edge and in the $10^6$ and $10^7$ discs radial oscillations are observed. The wavelength of these oscillations is consistent with
Figure 4. Time evolution of the angle between the disc plane and the black hole spin as a function of radius in a 3D disc subject to Lense-Thirring precession, using similar parameters to Lubow et al. (2002) and the same times as in Figure 1. The shape of this profile depends sensitively on the surface density at the inner edge and hence on resolution (see Figure 6). The right panel shows a zoom-in of the inner disc.

Figure 5. Cross-section view of the steady-state tilt oscillation formed in a disc initially inclined at 15° to the black hole spin (spin axis is vertical with respect to the page, i.e. along the z axis). The colour scale shows density. Disc parameters are the same as in Figure 4, but with a larger initial inclination.

Despite the resolution-dependence of our results, we were still able to observe tilt oscillations at resolutions used by Nelson & Papaloizou (2000) so long as Einstein precession was accounted for. We further investigated whether this might be due to the differences in the artificial viscosity parameters used, as we set the von Neumann-Richtmyer viscosity coefficient $\beta_{AV} = 2.0$ (see Price 2012) for all of our simulations whilst Nelson & Papaloizou (2000) used $\beta_{AV} = 0$. A nonzero $\beta_{AV}$ viscosity is required to prevent particle penetration (Monaghan 1989) and the absence of bulk viscosity is known to be problematic in disc simulations (Lodato & Price 2010). Thus with $\beta_{AV} = 0$, the simulations of Nelson & Papaloizou (2000) might not have captured the wave interactions that create the tilt oscillations and the absence of bulk viscosity.

To check this we conduct a low-resolution simulation equivalent to simulation E1 of Nelson & Papaloizou (2000) and $\beta_{AV} = 0$. Figure 7 shows the results (black solid line), compared to an equivalent simulation with $\beta_{AV} = 2$ (red dashed line) and also compared to a higher resolution simulations. At high resolution we find tilt oscillations regardless of the value of $\beta_{AV}$ (green solid and blue dotted lines) but we find that using $\beta_{AV} = 0$ can indeed erase the tilt oscillations at low resolution. The lower panel of Figure 7 shows that this is not simply due to the effect on $\Sigma(R)$, since two of the calculations show very similar surface density profiles but rather different evolutions of the inner disc tilt.

4.2 When does the disc break?

4.2.1 Bardeen-Petterson Alignment

A second possible violation of the Bardeen-Petterson picture may be that the disc breaks instead of maintaining a smooth transition between an aligned inner disc and a misaligned outer disc. In order to investigate this, we simulate a range of discs at 30° whilst varying $\alpha$ and $a$ according to the list PS1-10 in Table 3.1.3. Here, for simplicity, we make use of a standard potential given by Equation 22, and hence do not expect any oscillatory behaviour.

Figure 8 shows a 3D rendering of density in one such simu-
relation with $\alpha = 0.9$ and $\alpha = 0.03$ at three different resolutions (PS10). Except for the potential used, this disc has similar parameters to simulation E3 of Nelson & Papaloizou (2000) which made use of 52,000 particles across a larger radial extent than our simulations, representing a lower resolution than any of those shown in Figure 8. At our lowest resolution (left panel of Figure 8), we also observe the inner disc aligning and smoothly transitioning to an outer, misaligned disc (see their Figure 12). However, at higher resolutions this behaviour is no longer observed — the disc instead breaks into two distinct sections, with the inner disc aligned with the black hole spin and the outer disc remaining misaligned.

Figure 9 shows that resolving disc breaking is mainly a question of resolving the disc scale height. For the lowest resolution simulations the resolution length is greater than the scale height of the disc and hence disc breaking (on a length scale smaller than $H$) cannot be resolved and a smooth transition is observed. By contrast, the two higher resolutions are able to resolve disc breaking. Figure 10 shows the same resolution study performed in Figure 8 for all of our discs at $30^\circ$, where the green line shows simulations that made use of $10^5$ particles, red shows $10^6$ and black shows $10^7$ particles. The discs are shown after 1500 orbits at the inner edge, allowing the warp to propagate all the way to the outer radius. Increasing the spin of the black hole increases the rate at which the innermost part of the disc aligns.

Across all of the parameters chosen here, increasing the resolution changes the behaviour from the smooth tilt profile observed by Nelson & Papaloizou (2000) to a steepening of the tilt profile and ultimately a disc that is broken into distinct sections. The higher resolution results show an aligned inner edge, a misaligned outer edge and a sharp tilt profile connecting these, representing a break in the disc. The discs simulated with lower spins appear to steepen and tear faster than those with higher spin as the break occurs further out (and hence a longer precession time). This is observed most clearly between the low viscosity, high spin cases. At $\alpha = 0.5$, the tilt steepened and the disc tore before the end of the simulation. For the disc with $\alpha = 0.7$, the tilt began steepening near the end of the simulation but was not able to separate, whilst at $\alpha = 0.9$ the disc has not yet begun steepening. We have confirmed that this is the case by extending the high resolution simulations of the $\alpha = 0.9$ case, and indeed observed steepening to occur at later times.

As with the previous simulations, Figure 10 demonstrates that the simulations are not fully converged, especially when considering the low spin cases ($\alpha < 0.5$). For these discs, the discrepancy in the inner tilt is again due to the mass accreted at the inner edge of the disc. At low resolutions the inner part of the disc is accreted faster, resulting in less mass near the inner edge. The same Lense-Thirring torque then acts on less mass, and is thus not able to align the disc to the same extent. At increasing spins this effect is observed less, as the higher spin provides a larger torque and so even the lowest resolution discs are able to align. In the discs with $\alpha < 0.5$, increasing the resolution leads to a more distinct tear in the disc suggesting that our results are consistent. Hence we can be confident that these discs do tear, and present an upper limit on the radius at which this occurs. As the tearing occurs outside of the radius where oscillations were found in Section 4.1, using similar parameters, this behaviour should not be affected by our choice of potential.

### 4.2.2 Disc Tearing

To investigate the dependence of disc tearing on the misalignment between the disc and the black hole spin in the wavelike regime
we simulated a suite of discs at different inclinations. We again make use of the traditional post-Newtonian approximation given by Equation 25. We held $\alpha = 0.01$ and $a = 0.9$ constant and varied the inclination of the disc between $0^\circ$ (aligned) and $150^\circ$, noted in Table 3.1.3 with A1-8. Figure 11 shows these simulations after more than 1500 orbits measured at the inner edge. Each disc was initially tilted but not warped. As the simulation progressed, a warp evolved in response to the Lense-Thirring torque and in the higher inclination cases resulted in the disc breaking.

At $15^\circ$ (top right of Figure 11) the disc was observed to smoothly align to the spin of the black hole. At the end of the simulation, the tilt of the disc was consistent with the Bardeen-Petterson effect and is similar to results seen in previous simulations at $10^\circ$ by Nelson & Papaloizou (2000). Extending the lower resolution version of this simulation (with $10^6$ particles) for twice as long shows that the disc continues to align with the black hole spin, implying that the steady state for this disc is full alignment. Inclining the disc at $30^\circ$ also did not yet result in disc tearing, however this is because for this particular choice of viscosity and spin this simulation has not been run long enough (see Section 4.2.1).

For discs at higher inclinations ($\gtrsim 45^\circ$; second, third and fourth rows of Figure 11), the inner section of the disc was found to align within 50 orbits and a smooth transition was formed between the disc and the outer region of the disc. This transition then steepened until the disc broke into two sections that were connected by precessing rings of material. Multiple rings of material were torn off from the outer, misaligned disc and each was observed to precess effectively independently. Towards the end of the simulations, up to two rings were precessing at the same time (for example, $120^\circ$ disc of Figure 11) and were present for up to $\sim 400$ orbits. Eventually each of these rings settled with and increased the inner, aligned region of the disc. The disc inclined at $90^\circ$ (right hand panel in third row of Figure 11) also developed precessing rings of material that were accreted. However, for this inclination no inner aligned disc was observed and the rings of material were accreted directly onto the black hole.

Figure 12 shows the instantaneous mass accretion rate by the discs at different angles. It can be seen that inclining the disc to the spin of the black hole increases the rate of accretion by more than an order of magnitude when compared to an aligned disc, similar to previous findings (Nixon et al. 2012b). The discs that form an inner aligned disc and precessing rings have even higher accretion rates, as the inner disc is continually fed by the rings as they align. When taken in context with the results in the diffusive regime (Nixon et al. 2012b), this implies that regardless of whether the disc is thin or thick, mass accretion is faster when the disc is inclined.

Figure 9 shows the instantaneous mass accretion rate by the discs at different angles. It can be seen that inclining the disc to the spin of the black hole increases the rate of accretion by more than an order of magnitude when compared to an aligned disc, similar to previous findings (Nixon et al. 2012b). The discs that form an inner aligned disc and precessing rings have even higher accretion rates, as the inner disc is continually fed by the rings as they align. When taken in context with the results in the diffusive regime (Nixon et al. 2012b), this implies that regardless of whether the disc is thin or thick, mass accretion is faster when the disc is inclined.

Disc tearing has also been observed in the wavelike disc regime for circumbinary discs inclined at high angles (Facchini et al. 2013). In a simulation of a circumbinary disc inclined at $60^\circ$, their disc separates into two sections and the inner one precessed effectively independently of the outer disc. As their disc is thicker than ours ($H/R = 0.1$) and has a higher viscosity ($\alpha = 0.05$), we would anticipate that a strong external torque would be required to tear the disc, and we observe their disc does tear at a smaller radius than any of ours.

4.2.3 Location of tearing radius

The disc is expected to tear when the Lense-Thirring torque is larger than the internal communication in the disc. If the internal communication in the disc is governed by viscosity, the torques given in Section 2.2 can be used to estimate the upper breaking radius given in Equation 9. However in our simulations the disc internal dynamics are dominated by pressure rather than viscosity, hence Equation 11 may be more appropriate.

As the Lense-Thirring torque has a radial dependence, it is largest in the inner most parts of the disc and it is reasonable that...
these discs will break at a radius smaller than predicted by Equation 9. Figure 13 shows a comparison of the estimated break radius for the simulations inclined at 30° compared to the prediction from Equation 9 (upper line; assuming that $\alpha = 0.02$, the average for our simulations) and from Equation 11 (lower line). We find that the disc does break at radii lower than our prediction from the viscous torques alone, and that the breaking radius is intermediate between the predictions from Equations 9 and 11, indicating that the torques in our discs lie between these two extremes. The increasing uncertainties at low spin correspond to the decreasing convergence of our simulations due to mass accretion at the inner edge, seen in Figure 10.

The discrepancy between the predicted and the observed breaking radius appears to occur at all inclinations. Using Equation 9, the breaking radius for the 60° disc is found to be $R_{\text{break}} \sim 41R_{\text{in}}$ which is greater than $R_{\text{out}}$. However this disc is observed to break (at $R \lesssim 18R_{\text{in}}$), in line with the results of Figure 13. If we now consider the 15° disc, it is predicted to break at $R_{\text{break}} \sim 18R_{\text{in}}$ but from the simulation we do not observe tearing. This could occur if the actual tearing radius is less than $R_{\text{in}}$, consistent with the previous results.

4.2.4 Width of the rings

The rings that are torn off during the simulations appear to be much wider than those found in the diffusive regime (Nixon et al. 2012b), some up to $\Delta R/H(R) \sim 25$ (where $\Delta R$ represents the ring width). It is possible for rings to form when the disc is able to break and differential precession is present, such as when the disc is subjected to Lense-Thirring precession. We therefore expect the width of the ring to be determined by a relative comparison between the sound crossing and precessional timescales in the disc. We can approximate this by letting $\Delta R$ be the distance that a wave can travel in a precession time such that

$$\int \frac{2}{c_s} dR \propto t_p,$$

across the ring. If we assume that the inner edge of the ring is at $R_{\text{in}} = R - \Delta R/2$, the outer edge at $R_{\text{out}} = R + \Delta R/2$ and use the expression for the sound speed, we get

$$R^3 \propto \frac{a}{(q + 1)} \left[1 - \left(\frac{R_{\text{in}}}{R_{\text{out}}}ight)^{q+1}\right] \frac{R_{\text{out}}}{c_s(R_{\text{out}})},$$

Figure 10. Disc tearing for different spin and viscosity combinations, with the same initial tilt of 30° and $10^5$ particles shown in green (wide dashes), $10^6$ particles shown in red (dashes) and $10^7$ particles shown in black (solid). At the lowest resolution we observe the Bardeen-Petterson effect complete with a smooth transition for most discs. Increasing the resolution results in disc tearing (Bardeen-Petterson alignment) independent of our choice of viscosity or spin. As we do not include the affect of Einstein precession, we do not observe radial tilt oscillations in these discs.
Figure 11. 3D renderings of discs that were initially misaligned with the black hole spin at various angles, with each simulation using $10^7$ particles and shown after $\sim 1500$ orbits. The inability of the discs inclined by more than $\theta \gtrsim 45^\circ$ to communicate the Lense-Thirring precession causes the formation of discrete rings which ‘tear’ and precess effectively independently before undergoing direct cancellation of angular momentum and rapid accretion. The black hole spin in each of these images is vertical with respect to the page (i.e. along the $z$ axis). The same density scale is used as in Figure 8.
where \( R \) is the radius that a ring of thickness \( \Delta R \) occurs at. Figure 14 compares the width of the rings measured from the simulations to this prediction. Although there are large uncertainties in the measurements the general trend of increasing ring width with \( R \) is reproduced.

4.3 Can the disc accrete misaligned?

Previous simulations of tilted accretion discs in the wavelike regime have not identified disc tearing when the disc is subjected to Lense-Thirring precession. The results of these thicker discs have found that the disc warps in the inner region, with a non-zero tilt at the inner edge, and then precesses as a solid body (Fragile & Anninos 2005; Fragile et al. 2007). To examine this behaviour, we conduct a single simulation of a thick disc. We use the same parameters as PS9, but with an aspect ratio four times the initial value, such that \( H/R = 0.2 \) at the inner edge. This disc is similar to the simulation of Fragile et al. (2007), except that it has twice the initial tilt (and does not include magnetic fields).

The timescales for this disc are shown in the right of Figure 15. Comparison with the timescales from PS9 (left) shows that although the precession timescale has not changed appreciably, the viscous and sound crossing timescales have decreased substantially. In the outer half of the disc we note that the viscous timescale is the shortest, allowing the material located there to be accreted to the inner regions faster than it can align. This leads to material being accreted before it can align with the spin of the black hole, causing a non-zero tilt at the inner edge of the disc. A comparison between the mass accretion of this disc and our thinner PS9 simulation shows that there is more mass accreted by the thicker disc.

Simulating to approximately the same time as quoted by Fragile et al. (2007), we observe the thick disc to warp in the inner regions but not to tear. At this time in our thin disc simulations we also do not observe tearing, so we continue the simulation until approximately 200 orbits according to the time units specified by Fragile et al. (2007) (10 times longer than their lower resolution simulation). The results at this time are shown in Figure 16 and 17. We do not observe the large increase in the disc tilt at the inner edge that was found by Fragile et al. (2007) (see their Figure 12), however in their paper this is attributed to plunging streams which we also do not observe. Presumably this is due to our use of the post-Newtonian approximation in Equation 25 and consistent with the discussion in Section 3.1.3.

As the disc is four times thicker than our simulation PS9, \( \nu \) increases by a factor of 16 (even though \( \alpha \) does not change). This increases the internal torque in the disc by the same factor (see Equation 7), but the external torque applied is the same as for our disc. This should make it much harder to tear the disc, and when we calculate the breaking radius using Equation 9 we find that it would be \( R/R_{\text{in}} \sim 3 \), inside the region where misaligned accretion is occurring. Indeed, from our results in Section 4.2.4, we would not expect this disc to tear at all.
5 DISCUSSION

Despite using up to $10^7$ particles, the simulations that have been presented are not yet converged. As shown in Figure 8 and comparison of our results with Nelson & Papaloizou (2000), increasing the resolution strongly affects the behaviour the disc displays. However, features like disc tearing and radial oscillations are present in both the medium and high resolution simulations and so we can draw conclusions about the qualitative behaviour. Additionally, whilst increasing the resolution decreases the breaking radius, it does so by a smaller amount each time, so we are confident that the measured tearing radii for our non-linear simulations is an upper limit and that our results are close to being converged.

The main point of discussion is why our results differ to those found by Nelson & Papaloizou (2000). The two main factors are the numerical resolution and the viscosity parameter $\beta_{AV}$. Simulations we performed at comparable resolution to Nelson & Papaloizou (2000) showed similar behaviour — namely a smooth transition between the aligned and misaligned regions. However, when we increased the resolution we found that the behaviour changes and these discs tear into two disconnected sections (the main criterion being to adequately resolve the disc scale height). This implies that low resolution prevented Nelson & Papaloizou (2000) from observing disc tearing. However, we also showed that the inclusion of a $\beta$ viscosity, even at low resolution, recovers steady-state oscillations in the tilt of the disc midplane with respect to the black hole spin axis similar to those predicted by the linear theory of Ivanov & Illarionov (1997) and LOP02. This is in contrast to the findings in Nelson & Papaloizou (2000), where it was suggested that the tilt oscillations were short wavelength features which could be damped out by non-linear effects. As shown by our $15^\circ$ simulation and in agreement with LOP02, we found that the wavelength of the radial oscillation is of the order of the radius and is not damped out by such effects.

The tilt oscillations that were found at linear inclinations do not match to the description of the 1D code by LOP02, and increasing the resolution does not reduce the discrepancy. The difference is likely due to the 1D code assuming that the viscous timescale is negligibly large. In Section 4.3 it is found that the mass accretion is not necessarily negligible, as for discs with a larger aspect ratio we found it is possible for the material to accrete to the inner regions of the disc faster than it is able to align. This causes the disc to accrete misaligned material, which prevents a steady state from being formed and confirms that it is not possible to produce a tilt profile such as that described by the Bardeen-Petterson effect if the viscous time is too short (as predicted by Lodato & Pringle 2006). Recently the thinnest discs in relativistic simulations have been completed by Morales Teixeira et al. (2014), with $H/R = 0.08$. Their retrograde simulation showed partial alignment at the inner edge, but their prograde simulations displayed an inner edge tilt that was greater than the initial condition. It is also noted that the strength of the tilt oscillations depends on the disc thickness, and so thick discs (and tori) would display weak oscillations.

Perhaps the main caveat of our simulations is that we use an $\alpha$ viscosity to model the discs. Whilst a comparison between a purely hydrodynamical disc (with no explicit viscosity) and one where the viscosity is controlled by the MRI has shown that the behaviour of the disc is largely controlled by the hydrodynamic evolution (Sorathia et al. 2013), for a complete picture of the disc evolution we should include magnetic fields to self-consistently generate a turbulent viscosity through the MRI. However it is not yet clear how the MRI will respond in the presence of a warp, especially at large angles. Additionally, we assume that our discs are vertically isothermal. Heating of the disc due to warping may further complicate this picture (Ogilvie 2003).

For tilt oscillations and efficient wave transport to occur we require $H/R > \alpha$ (Papaloizou & Lin 1995; Ivanov & Illarionov 1997). Black hole accretion discs are often expected to be geometrically thin and have $\alpha \sim 0.1$ (King et al. 2007). However, for discs which are accreting either at very sub-Eddington ($\lesssim 0.1L_{\text{Edd}}$) or near-Eddington ($\gtrsim L_{\text{Edd}}$) rates, the disc may become geometrically thick (Narayan & Quataert 2005). So the simulations presented here may be most relevant to the low luminosity state of X-ray binaries where the disc can be thick and $\alpha$ may be significantly smaller than its usual outburst value (Smak 1984; Meyer & Meyer-Hofmeister 1984). They are also relevant to AGN accreting at rates greater than Eddington and to the discs formed in tidal disruption events where the initial star orbit can be highly misaligned and the disrupted material infalling at super-Eddington rates.

Figure 15. The timescales in our PS9 simulation (left) and a disc that is four times thicker (right). The precession timescale does not change much between the thin and thick discs, however the sound crossing and viscous timescales decrease as the disc becomes thicker. The decrease in viscous time means that the thicker disc is able to accrete misaligned material, preventing the development of a steady state.
Tilt 3D results. Discrepancies remain between the predicted tilt profile and our code developed by LOP02 assumes that mass accretion is negligible. However, as the 1D hydrodynamics code, as predicted by LOP02. Nevertheless, as the 1D code developed by LOP02 assumes that mass accretion is negligible, discrepancies remain between the predicted tilt profile and our 3D results.

(iii) Tilt oscillations are also present at higher inclinations ($15^\circ$), showing that non-linear effects do not necessarily damp this behaviour.

(iv) Disc ‘tearing’ or ‘breaking’, rather than a smooth transition between spin-aligned and spin-misaligned parts of the disc, appears to be an inevitable outcome for accretion discs inclined to the black hole spin by more than a few degrees. This occurs regardless of whether the propagation of bending waves is governed by pressure forces or viscous stresses.

(v) Tearing of the disc leads to rings that precess effectively independently. As in the diffusive regime, this can lead to direct cancellation of angular momentum and hence faster accretion. The main difference in the wavelike regime is that the rings are wider, with the width determined by the ratio of precession to sound crossing time rather than the disc scale-height.

(vi) The Bardeen-Petterson effect cannot occur in discs where the viscous time is comparable to the alignment time. In this case the disc material is accreted misaligned. Hence it is possible to have discs that are misaligned with respect to the black hole spin even in the absence of tilt oscillations, but this can only occur at high $M$ (i.e. for thick discs).

(vii) Mass accretion rates can be enhanced by an order of magnitude or more when the disc is inclined with respect to the black hole spin. This occurs regardless of whether the disc is thick or thin.

6 CONCLUSION

In this work we have re-examined the Bardeen-Petterson effect in 3D using hydrodynamical simulations of accretion discs subject to Lense-Thirring precession, in the regime where warps propagate in a wavelike manner ($\alpha \lesssim H/R$). Our detailed conclusions are as follows:

(i) The Bardeen-Petterson picture of an aligned inner disc smoothly connected to a misaligned outer disc occurs only at low inclinations and only when Einstein precession is not accounted for. Using high resolution calculations, we find both steady state oscillations in the disc tilt (when Einstein precession is included) and that discs break when they are relatively thin and highly misaligned to the black hole spin.

(ii) We recover steady tilt oscillations for the first time in a 3D hydrodynamics code, as predicted by LOP02. However, as the 1D code developed by LOP02 assumes that mass accretion is negligible, discrepancies remain between the predicted tilt profile and our 3D results.

(iii) Tilt oscillations are also present at higher inclinations ($15^\circ$), showing that non-linear effects do not necessarily damp this behaviour.

(iv) Disc ‘tearing’ or ‘breaking’, rather than a smooth transition between spin-aligned and spin-misaligned parts of the disc, appears to be an inevitable outcome for accretion discs inclined to the black hole spin by more than a few degrees. This occurs regardless of whether the propagation of bending waves is governed by pressure forces or viscous stresses.

(v) Tearing of the disc leads to rings that precess effectively independently. As in the diffusive regime, this can lead to direct cancellation of angular momentum and hence faster accretion. The main difference in the wavelike regime is that the rings are wider, with the width determined by the ratio of precession to sound crossing time rather than the disc scale-height.

(vi) The Bardeen-Petterson effect cannot occur in discs where the viscous time is comparable to the alignment time. In this case the disc material is accreted misaligned. Hence it is possible to have discs that are misaligned with respect to the black hole spin even in the absence of tilt oscillations, but this can only occur at high $M$ (i.e. for thick discs).

(vii) Mass accretion rates can be enhanced by an order of magnitude or more when the disc is inclined with respect to the black hole spin. This occurs regardless of whether the disc is thick or thin.
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APPENDIX A: MEASURING THE DISC PRECESSION

Here we outline how the precession in the disc was measured from the simulations and show some example results. In order to analyse the properties of the discs from the simulations, we discretise the disc into a set of thin spherical annuli and average the properties of interest across the particles in each of these bins. This process is described in detail in Section 3.2.6 of Lodato & Price (2010). The twist, $\gamma(R)$, in our disc at a given radius is found by considering the unit angular momentum vectors at each radius bin in the disc. With $l_x(R)$, $l_y(R)$ and $l_z(R)$ the unit vectors in the Cartesian coordinate system, we assign

$$\gamma(R) = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{l_y(R)}{l_x(R)} \right). \quad (A1)$$

for each radial annulus. This is repeated at every time step, so that we have a description of the twist as a function of time at each radial bin in our simulations. An example of the twist in this format is in Figure A1. Here the twist is increasing in the disc when the gradient is positive. As the disc twists through a full $2\pi$ radians, the twist then jumps back to zero because Equation A1 does not take into account the cumulative twist angle.
The precession time can be measured from Figure A1 directly by recording how long it takes for the disc to twist all the way around, equivalent to finding when the twist drops back to zero. This can be approximated by working out the gradient of the twist as a function of time and then using it to calculate the precession time in the disc. This is equivalent to calculating

$$t_p = 2\pi \left( \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right)^{-1}. \quad (A2)$$

Because this calculation has been done at each radial annulus, we now have the precession time as a function of the radius in the disc, averaged over the length of the simulation. An example of this was shown in Figure 3.

The above analysis does not take the inclination of the disc into account. This disc was inclined at $30^\circ$, but this angle did not come into our expression for $t_p$ or explicitly in our analysis from the twist. As outlined in the derivation by Larwood et al. (1996), the Lense-Thirring precession is independent of the inclination between the disc and the black hole spin. Repeating the above analysis with discs at other angles confirms this.
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