Carbon burning in SAGB stars

Farmer, R; Fields, C, E; Timmes, F,X







All feature off-center ignition under degenerate conditions.

What is a Super Asymptotic Giant branch (SAGB) star?



Farmer et al 2015

 \sim 7-10 M_{\odot}

C/O degenerate core

Neutrino cooling in the core

Sets up a temperature inversion

Carbon ignition

- Why?
- Where?
- What happens?

Previously in SAGB stars

- Doherty 2015
 - $8.0M_{\odot}$ carbon ignites off center (solar Z)
- Jones 2013
 - ~8.2 M_{\odot} for off center
 - >8.7 M_{\odot} centrally ignite
- Seiss 2006-2010
 - Off center between 9-11.3 M_{\odot} (No overshooting)
- Lots of variation in the models and parameters used

MESA

- 1D stellar evolution code
- Stars between $\rm 6\text{-}11 M_{\odot}$
- Pre-MS to end of carbon burning
- 22 isotope nuclear network
- Mixing (CBM, thermohaline, semiconvection)
- Rotation, magnetic fields and mass loss

Carbon burning

- ${}^{12}C+{}^{12}C \rightarrow {}^{*24}Mg \rightarrow {}^{20}Ne \text{ or } {}^{23}Na$
- $X({}^{20}Ne) > X({}^{23}Na) > X({}^{24}Mg) \&$ $eps_{nuc} >> eps_{neut}$
 - Vigorous burning
 - Degeneracy is lifted
- Some stars have "flashes" some have "flames"

Whats a flash?



Temperature ~ 7*10⁸ K (Timmes et al 1994)

Must overcome neutrino cooling

Ignition drives a convection zone (Garica-Berro et al 1997)

Convection sets an upper bound on T

Draws in fresh fuel to burn

Flash is ~stationary

 $7.0M_{\odot}$ Single Flash

Whats a flame?



Convectively bounded flame

Convection sets temperature also mixes in fresh fuel

Steady state flame burning balances neutrino losses

Flame propagates inwards on thermal diffusion timescale (Nomoto & Iben 1985)

Velocity ~ 0.1 cm s⁻¹

Lifetime ~ 20kyrs

May or may not reach the center

Others



Where does carbon ignite?



Where does carbon ignite?



Can we predict where the flame ignites?

$$\tau_{\rm burn} = 5.1 \times 10^9 \left(\frac{T}{7 \times 10^8}\right)^{-32} \left(\frac{\rho}{2 \times 10^6}\right)^{-0.8}$$
$$\tau_{\rm diff} = 4.0 \times 10^9 \left(\frac{T}{7 \times 10^8}\right)^{-2.4} \left(\frac{\rho}{2 \times 10^6}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\left(\frac{T}{7 \times 10^8}\right)^{29.6} \left(\frac{\rho}{2 \times 10^6}\right)^{-0.2} = 1.3$$

Balance the burning timescale to the diffusion timescale

Temp must be 7*10⁸K for significant burning

Can we predict where the flame ignites?



Can we predict where the flame ignites?



Describe cores as polytropes

Polytrope index increases as mass increases

Ignition point occurs at constant density

Read of the ignition mass

Why a flash?



Degeneracy is lifted at ignition point

Core expands

Moves location of critical density away from peak temperature

Temperature increases a little from burning but convection limits the increase

Flash dies

Fuel is burnt in region, thus needs higher T and Rho to ignite again

But, He shell is depleted so core can't grow anymore

Why a flame?



Core tries to expand

But, ignition is deeper in the star

Star is less degenerate thus less energy is needed to break degeneracy

Burning is less energetic

Core doesn't expand as much

Critical density moves inwards as He accreates onto the C/O core

Spluttering at end due to low C abundance

Whats this?



Multiple flashes moving inwards, flashes themselves don't move.

But location of ignition does.

Intermediate between single flash and flame

Core is able to expand extinguishing the flash

But still have C accretion from He shell

Density increases, able to ignite a flash at lower mass where there is fresh fuel.

Each flash is shorter and the time between them decreases

Flames are just when the time between flashes is 0

Whats make a flash or a flame?

Mass is certainly driving the ignition point and type of burning



But, convective overshoot is also playing a role

When in doubt try everything



What about overshoot?



Larger F implies more overshoot and more mixing

Overshoot decreases the ignition mass coordinate

- Overshoot applied at all convective boundaries
- There is no overshoot in vicinity of the ignition point at ignition
- So how does overshoot alter the flame location?

What about overshoot?



Overshoot increases the mass of the C/O core

Minimum mass needed to ignite $\sim 1.05 M_{\odot}$ (Independent of overshoot)

Maximum mass of a single C/O WD (1.05M $_{\odot}$, solar Z)

Overshooting during Che burning most important

Stars rotate



Rotation doesn't seem to play a role in the ignition

Why?

Why does rotation not play a role?



By time the C/O forms the star's cores are rotating at the same rate

Goes through more contraction spinning up the core.

Stars ignite at similar core rotation rates.

$$\begin{array}{lll} & (\Omega/\Omega_{crit})_i \ = \ 0.1 \\ & (\Omega/\Omega_{crit})_i \ = \ 0.2 \\ & (\Omega/\Omega_{crit})_i \ = \ 0.3 \\ & (\Omega/\Omega_{crit})_i \ = \ 0.4 \\ & (\Omega/\Omega_{crit})_i \ = \ 0.5 \end{array}$$

Final fates?



Hybrid C/O/Ne WD's formed? Flames ignite off center

But which don't propagate to the center

Leaves a C/O core surrounded by a O/Ne layer

Needs strong overshoot

Type lax supernovae?

Next time...

- Extend analysis to different fuels?
 - He flash?
 - Ne flames?
- Observational signals?
 - Can we detect the different amounts of carbon burnt?
- Grid over metalicities?

Summary

- Analytically shown where 12C ignites:
 - Constant density (~ $2.1 \times 10^{6} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$)
 - This determines how the star behaves after ignition.
- Initial mass is main driver for flame physics, followed by overshoot
 - Really its all about how big the C/O core gets
- Rotation seems unimportant
- Can produce hybrid C/O/Ne WD's, though depends on overshoot
- Files needed to reproduce this work available at http://mesastar.org/