

## Final Presentation

### 1 Guidelines

- The lists of papers about optimisation can be found at <http://users.monash.edu.au/~aldeidaa/FIT4012/papers.html>, and about simulation at [http://j.mp/fit4012\\_papers\\_part2](http://j.mp/fit4012_papers_part2).
- Your mark will be calculated based on the marking rubric given in Section 2. If you aim for a High Distinction, your presentation should tick all the items in the rubric. For a Distinction, you should fulfil the criteria for Distinction and all the marks below (Credit and Pass), and so on.
- Think of this task as reviewing a paper, where you have to decide whether to accept or reject it for some prestigious conference. While reading the paper, think of the following aspects:
  - the extent to which the work answers a valid research question
  - the research methodology
  - the quality of results and argumentation (any suspected flaws) the evaluation
  - awareness of related work (including the correct number of appropriate references)
  - the degree of significance of the results and contribution
  - do the conclusions follow from the work described?
  - the quality of the writing
  - does the abstract describe what you read
  - do the intro and conclusions tell a story on their own?
  - are the diagrams and figures readable?
  - are the references and citations formatted properly?

## 2 Marking rubric

Use this marking rubric peer-assess.

---

### **Fail**

- Any evidence of academic integrity problems.

### **Pass**

- Showing up
- Finishing on time
- Evidence of reading and understanding the material

### **Credit**

- Presents the technical content clearly
- Good structure of the presentation
- Appropriate allocation of the time to the different sections of the presentation.

### **Distinction**

- Establishes links with the content of the course and relevant research
- Evidence of critical reflection on the approach presented in the paper.
- Is the presentation engaging.
- Appropriate handling of the discussion and questions after the presentation.

### **High Distinction**

- Some critique of the research literature other than the paper being reviewed.
  - Comparison with relevant approaches.
- 

■