









CHAOTIC STAR FORMATION AND THE Implications for protoplanetary discs

Daniel Price (Monash) Christophe Pinte (Monash/ Grenoble) Daniel Mentiplay (Monash) Giovanni Dipierro (Milan) Enrico Ragusa (Milan) Guillaume Laibe (ENS Lyon) Giuseppe Lodato (Milan) Nicolás Cuello (PUC, Chile) Simon Casassus (U Chile) Valentin Christiaens (U Chile) François Menard (Grenoble)

Daniel Price @ Core2disk workshop, Paris, May 2018

TRADITIONAL VIEW

Star formation (10 Myr)	Planet formation (5-10 Myr)	Transitional phase	Planets
	Time		

CHAOTIC STAR FORMATION



CHARACTERISTICS OF CHAOTIC STAR FORMATION

- ► Fast, occurs on dynamical time(~1-2Myr)
- Chaotic; dynamical interactions common
- ► Initial mass function arises from competition for mass
- Binary and multiple stars usual outcome
- Massive, gravitationally unstable discs in early phase
- ► Filaments! (A

e.g. Larson (1978, 1981), Pringle (1989), Bate & Bonnell (1994a,b), Mac Low et al. (1999), Stone et al. (1998), Bate et al. (2003), Elmegreen (2000), Mac Low & Klessen (2004), Bate & Bonnell (2005), Bonnell & Bate (2006), Offner et al. (2008), Bate (2009, 2012), Bate, Lodato & Pringle (2010), Chabrier & Hennebelle (2010, 2011), Hennebelle & Chabrier (2008, 2009, 2011)



PLANET FORMATION – FAST OR SLOW?



Lifetime of protoplanetary disc ~ 10 Myr

OUR 2015 VIEW OF STAR AND PLANET FORMATION



So what's new?

PLANET FORMATION IS INTIMATELY LINKED TO STAR FORMATION



ALMA collaboration et al. (2015)

DUST, GAS AND PLANETS IN HL TAU

Dipierro et al. (2015)



Gas

mm grains

COMPARISON

Dipierro, Price, et al. (2015), MNRAS 453, L73-L77



Figure 4. Comparison between the ALMA image of HL Tau (left) with simulated observations of our disc model (right) at band 6 (continuum emission at 233 GHz). The white colour in the filled ellipse in the lower left corner indicates the size of the half-power contour of the synthesized beam: (left) 0.035 arcsec \times 0.022 arcsec, P.A. 11°; (right) 0.032 arcsec \times 0.024 arcsec, P.A. 6°.

But need 3 x Saturn-mass planets in less than 1 million years!

Similar conclusions reached by Jin + (2016), Picogna + (2016)

DUST GAPS WITH NO GAS GAPS?

Dipierro, Laibe, Price & Lodato (2016)



Small planets only carve a gap in the dust

But lots of other explanations for dust gaps in discs! e.g. Lesur et al. (2014), Pinilla et al. (2012), Meheut et al. (2012b), Lyra & Kuchner (2013), Zhang, Blake & Bergin (2015), Takahashi & Inutsuka (2012), Flock et al. (2015), Loren-Aguilar & Bate (2015, 2016), Gonzalez et al. (2015, 2017)

For planets, see also Wolf et al. (2002); Fouchet et al. (2007; 2012); Gonzalez et al. (2012)

TW HYA: OUR NEAREST PROTOPLANETARY DISC



See also Huang et al. (2018) for CO data

TW HYA MODELLING

Mentiplay, Price & Pinte (submitted)





Strom et al. (1989), Dullemond et al. (2001), alvet et al. (2005), Espaillat et al. (2014), ssus (2016), Owen (2016)



HD142527

0.1

0

0.2

0.3

LETTER

2

0

-1

-2

Flows of gas through a protoplanetary gap

Simon Casassus¹, Gerrit van der Plas¹, Sebastian Perez M¹, William R. F. Dent^{2,3}, Ed Fomalont⁴, Janis Hagelberg⁵, Antonio Hales^{2,4}, Andrés Jordán⁶, Dimitri Mawet³, Francois Ménard^{7,8}, Al Wootten⁴, David Wilner⁹, A. Meredith Hughes¹⁰, Matthias R. Schreiber¹¹, Julien H. Girard³, Barbara Ercolano¹², Hector Canovas¹¹, Pablo E. Román¹³ & Vachail Salinas¹

- ► Large ~100 au cavity
- Horseshoe in mm
 emission (Ohashi 2008)
- Gap-crossing filaments?

SHADOWS = INCLINED INNER DISC?

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL LETTERS, 798:L44 (4pp), 2015 January 10

Marino, Perez & Casassus (2015)

"FAST RADIAL FLOWS" = DISC TEARING?

0.5 0bs smooth mod. mod. smooth mod. slow warp 0 0.5 d b -0.5 0.5 0.5 0 0 -0.50 -0.5 0.5 0 -0.50.5

Casassus et al.

Figure 7. Comparison of observed and model CO(6-5) kinematics in the stellar position. Velocity-integrated intensity in CO(6-5) is shown in are spread over [0.21, 7.87] km s⁻¹ (as in Fig. 1). a): Observed moment the radiative transfer prediction, after smoothing to the resolution of t without smoothing. Regions without contours near the origin corresp component perpendicular to the disk plane (v_{warp} in the text).

8

dubbed disk tearing (Nixon et al. 2013; Nealon et al. 2015; Doğan et al. 2015), where nodal precession torques induced by the binary produce a warp at the inner edge

Require infall motions from cavity edge at the free-fall velocity!

A CLUE

Horseshoes in transitional discs 3

Ragusa et al. (2017), see also Ataiee et al. (2013)

Figure 2. Comparison of ALMA simulated observations at 345 GHz of disc models with a mass ratio q = 0.01 (upper left), q = 0.05 (upper right), q = 0.1 (bottom left) and q = 0.2 (bottom right). Intensities are in mJy beam⁻¹. The white colour in the filled ellipse in the upper left corner indicates the size of the half-power contour of the synthesized beam: 0.12×0.1 arcsec (~ 16×13 au at 130 pc.).

MODELLING HD142527

Price et al. (2018), MNRAS 477, 1270

Orbital arc fits using IMORBEL (Pearce, Kennedy & Wyatt 2015)

	a	e	i	Ω	ω	f
Orbit B1	26.5	0.24	119.9	349.7	218.0	25.93
Orbit B2	28.8	0.40	120.4	340.3	201.5	33.78
Orbit B3	34.3	0.50	119.3	159.2	19.98	35.04
Orbit R1	31.4	0.74	131.3	44.95	27.88	249.3
Orbit R2	38.9	0.61	120.3	19.25	354.0	268.3
Orbit R3	51.3	0.70	119.3	201.4	173.3	270.4

BLUE ORBIT

Price et al. (2018)

RED ORBIT

Price et al. (2018)

See almost polar alignment of binary to disc, c.f. Aly et al. (2015), Martin & Lubow (2017)

CAVITY SIZE Perez + (2015)

an axisymmetric disk. The total mass of gas surviving inside the cavity is high $(1.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3} M_{\odot}$.

Red = Best fit model used in Perez + (2015) to fit the observed data!

100 au

Δ RA ["]

Simulations

CO EMI

.

e.g. Dominik & Dullemond (2011)

HORSESHO

GAP CROSSING FILAMENTS

SUMMARY

- Every disc imaged so far shows signs of interaction with already-formed planets or low mass companions
- Lots of discs similar to HD142527 disturbed morphologies, asymmetries, spirals. Suggests highly misaligned, eccentric companions are common?
- Discs with holes telling us about chaotic star formation how discs are born not how they die
- ► Suggests planet formation occurs on < 5 Myr timescales

NEW VIEW?

Hypothesis: Star and planet formation are both fast, dynamical processes

PREDICTIONS:

Lodato & Price (in prep)

- Expect lots more discs with companions on wild orbits
- Discs around more massive stars should be more disturbed
- ► Rings and gaps caused by planets will be found everywhere
- Tidal encounters common!

Credit: Nicolás Cuello

BUT WHERE ARE THE PLANETS?

See also Teague et al. (2018) for claims of more planets in HD163296!

