

THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA

Achieve International Excellence

Symmetry of codes in graphs Cheryl E Praeger

Centre for Mathematics of Symmetry and Computation

Communicating Information



Electronically brings danger of introducing errors



International Morse Code

- 1. A dash is equal to three dots.
- 2. The space between parts of the same letter is equal to one dot.
- The space between two letters is equal to three dots.
- 4. The space between two words is equal to seven dots.





Received Genetic Information

DNA-Damag Repair

10.5

Error

Correction

Decoder

Standard representation

Block codes:

Codewords are strings

Errors are incorrect entries

Distance(sent, received) = number of errors



Communic ation

Channel

Noise / Errors (DNA-Damage)

Error

Correction

Encoder

Codes in Graphs





1973 Delsarte

- Interpret vertex subsets C of any graph X as codes
- •vertices in C are codewords
- Introducing a "single error"
 into a codeword v gives
 vertex u at distance 1 from v
 in X

•if u not in C then call u a neighbour of code C

Classical setup: X = H(m,q) a Hamming graph

- VX = m-tuples from alphabet of size q
- { x, y } edge in X if x, y differ in one entry
- Distance d(x,y) = number of different entries
- Minimum distance δ for C is least d(x,y) for x,y in C

In H(3,2) take C={ 000, 111 } so δ = 3





Delsarte suggested: take X a completely regular graph



Distance partition of C



Distance partition is

independent of v

edges from v to vertices in

C_i depend only on i and j –

equitable



 C_1 = neighbour set of C r = covering radius



Example of completely regular code

C in H(4,2)



Minimum distance $\delta = 2$ covering radius = 1

Completely regular codes

- Delsarte: Generalising
 perfect codes
- Disappointingly not many CR codes known with large minimum distance δ
- Led to Conjectures for CR codes in H(m,q)





C in H(4,2)



Conjectures

• Neumaier 1992 only CR code in an H(m,q) with $\delta =$ 8 is the binary Golay code

 Borges, Rifa, Zinoviev 2001 every CR code in an H(m,q) has δ at most 8

Minimal distance $\delta = 2$ covering radius = 2

Two directions for further study using symmetry



Automorphism group Aut(C): Setwise stabilser of C in Aut(X)



Warning: Some use more restrictive definition of Aut(C) !! For all codes C:

 Aut(C) leaves each C_i invariant

- **C** is completely transitive:
- Aut(C) is transitive on C_i for each i

Work on completely transitive codes in graphs



In H(m,q)

In Johnson graphs J(v,k)

- Patrick Sole
- Michael Giudici and CEP
- Rifa and Zinoviev: with restrictive Aut(C) show δ at most 8
- Neil Gillespie PhD 2012

- Bill Martin
- Chris Godsil and CEP

NASA *space* probe Mariner 9 in 1971 used the Hadamard code n=32 to transmit *photos* of Mars back to Earth

Hadamard codes

An example:

- Take Hadamard matrix H
- "Double and negate"
- Change -1 to 0
- Code(H) in H(n,2)
- Automorphism (P, Q) with H=PHQ with P, Q monomial
- Aut(H) = Aut(Code(H))
- size 2n , $\delta = n/2$









A completely transitive Hadamard code

Neil Gillespie and CEP

- Unique12 x 12 matrix H
- 1962 M Hall Aut(H)=2.M₁₂
- Code(H) is completely transitive!
- $\delta = 6$
- Covering radius = 3





Second direction: neighbour-transitive codes

Aut(C) transitive on C & C₁

- Gillespie: C in H(m,q)
- Liebler & CEP: C in J(v,k)



C C₁ C_r

We don't care about the "far-away" vertices

Neil Gillespie's work

Constructions & Classifications



- Remarkable new family of codes C(T)
- Building blocks for large class of neighbourtransitive codes

Neil's C(T) codes



Choose favourite permutation group T

- Each x in T becomes a codeword:
- E.g. If T=S₃ then (123) sometimes written as

123 231

 Take associated codeword as (2 3 1) = (1^x 2^x 3^x)

Gillespie & CEP

For $T = S_3$ on { 1, 2, 3 }

- C(T) in H(3,3)
- $|\mathsf{T}| = 6$ codewords
- Length 3, Alphabet {1,2,3}
- Distance between
- $(1^{x} 2^{x} 3^{x})$ and $(1^{y} 2^{y} 3^{y})$

Is number of points moved by xy^{-1} so $\delta = 2$ for C(S₃)

In Neil's classification T is simple "socle" of 2-transitive group



• δ = minimal degree(T)

- Aut (C(T)) contains T x T and is neighbour-transitive
- Proof uses 2-transitivity

Gillespie & CEP

T=PSL(2,29) on PG(1,29)

- C(T) in H(30,30)
- Size |T| ≈ 13K
- Length 30 = |PG(1,29)|
- Alphabet PG(1,29)
- $\delta = 28 = \text{minimal degree}(T)$
- So corrects 13 errors!

N. Tr. codes in Johnson graphs



Johnson graph J(v,k) Based on a v-set V

Johnson graph J(v, k) based on v-set \mathcal{V}

- vertex set $\binom{\mathcal{V}}{k}$
- arc set J: all vertex pairs (α, α_1) with $|\alpha \cap \alpha_1| = k 1$
- distance in J(v, k): $d_J(\alpha, \beta) = i \Leftrightarrow |\alpha \cap \beta| = k i$

View code C as subset of vertices of J(v, k)

Neighbour set $C_1 = \{\gamma_1 \notin C \mid d_J(\gamma, \gamma_1) = 1 \exists \gamma \in C\}$ Minimum distance $\delta(C) =$ minimum of $d_J(\gamma, \gamma') = k - |\gamma \cap \gamma'|$ where $\gamma, \gamma' \in C, \gamma \neq \gamma'$



$Aut(C) < Aut(J(v,k)) = Sym(V)=S_v$



Example 1. $C = \{12, 13, 23\}, C_1 = \{14, 24, 34\},$ Aut $(C) = S_3 = \langle (12), (123) \rangle$ Example 2. $C = \{12, 34\}, C_1 = \{13, 14, 23, 24\},$ Aut $(C) = D_8 = \langle (12), (1324) \rangle$



$Aut(C) < Aut(J(v,k)) = Sym(V)=S_v$

C in J(4,2)



Non-Example 3. $C = \{12, 13\}, C_1 = \{14, 22, 24, 34\},$ Aut $(C) = \langle (23) \rangle$ transitive on *C* (code-transitive) but not on C_1 Non-Example 4. $C = \{14, 22, 24, 34\}, C_1 = \{12, 13\},$ Aut(C) transitive on C_1 but not on *C*.



Some Questions:

- Are there many examples?
- Are most completely transitive?
- How large can $\delta(C)$ be?
- I examples involving interesting 'geometry'?
- Is any kind of classification feasible?



Comment on "design" interpretation

- Since vertices in J(v,k) are k-sets
- Natural to interpret codes C in J(v,k) as designs
- Nice examples arise from nice designs!



A few nice neighbour-transitive examples

- Blocks of 2-(11, 5, 2) biplane in J(11,5) with group PSL(2,11)
- Blocks of the Witt designs for Mathieu groups $M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24}$ and other goodies!
- Quadrics in the Higman-Sims graph with group HS i.e. a 2-(176,50,14) design
- Exactly four examples with group Co_3 and v=276, k = 6, 36, 100, 126



This classification problem comes from a reduction to problem about 2-transitive permutation groups

- Case of sporadic 2-transitive permutation groups (such as Mathieu groups, HS, Co₃)
- Finite problem solved using theory and GAP
- Collaboration with Max Neunhoeffer
- Complete list of sporadic examples [21 of them] along with their minimum distances δ

Comment on "code" interpretation arising from discussions with Max



- Since vertices in J(v,k) are k-sets
- Another natural interpretation: codeword = binary v-tuple [characteristic function of the k-set]
- Then C becomes a constant weight binary code in Hamming graph H(v,2)
- Distance between code words in H(v,2)
 = 2 x distance in J(v,k)
- Group of C in Aut(J(v,k)) contained in group of C in Aut(H(v,2)) – neighbour transitivity does not go through

Comment on "complements"



• Given code C in J(v, k)

for $\gamma \subset \mathcal{V}$ write $\overline{\gamma} = \mathcal{V} \setminus \gamma$

- Define $\overline{C} = \{\overline{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in C\}$ $\overline{C}_1 = \{\overline{\gamma}_1 \mid \gamma_1 \in C_1\}$
- Then \overline{C} is a code in $J(v, v k) \cong J(v, k)$ with 'block size' v k
 - \overline{C} has neighbour set \overline{C}_1 and $\operatorname{Aut}(C) = \operatorname{Aut}(\overline{C})$ \overline{C} is neighbour-transitive $\Leftrightarrow C$ is neighbour-transitive so assuming $k \leq \frac{v}{2}$ really no restriction



Comment on "geometrical" interpretation

- Regard (C, C_1) as an incidence structure. For
 - $\gamma \in C, \gamma_1 \in C_1, (\gamma, \gamma_1) \text{ incident } \Leftrightarrow d_J(\gamma, \gamma_1) = 1$
 - Let $INC(C, C_1)$ be set of incident (γ, γ_1) with $\gamma \in C, \gamma_1 \in C_1$
 - C is called incidence-transitive if Aut(C) is transitive on INC(C, C₁)
- if $\delta(C) \ge 2$, then C incidence-trans $\Rightarrow C$ neighbour-trans
- if $\delta(C) \geq 3$, then C incidence-trans $\Leftrightarrow C$ neighbour-trans



More neighbour-transitive examples

- Fix $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$, $k \leq |\mathcal{U}|$, and write $\overline{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{U}$.
- Let $C = C(\mathcal{U}, k) := \binom{\mathcal{U}}{k}$, so $\operatorname{Aut}(C) = \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{U}) \times \operatorname{Sym}(\overline{\mathcal{U}})$

•
$$C_1 = \{\gamma_1 \in \binom{\mathcal{V}}{k} \mid |\gamma_1 \cap \mathcal{U}| = k - 1\}$$

- C is neighbour-transitive
- Also if |U| < k then C(U, k) := { all k-subsets containing
 U} also neighbour-transitive

Comments on these [work with Bob Liebler]



Theorem These are all the neighbour-transitive examples with Aut(C) intransitive on V

1993, 2003 Meyerowitz classified all completely regular codes in J(v,k) of "strength zero" – they are precisely the intransitive neighbour-transitive examples!



Another set of known examples:

- 1994 Bill Martin "groupwise complete designs" Partition U = { $U_1, U_2, ..., U_b$ } of V with | U_i | = a, and b >3 Choose c with 1 < c at most b/2 and k = bc
- Define C = all unions of c parts of U code in J(v,k)
- 1994 Bill determined which groupwise complete designs are completely regular codes in J(v,k)

Showed: if C in J(v,k) completely regular and C is a 1design but not a 2-design then C is a groupwise complete design



From now on this is work with Bob Liebler

Group of groupwise complete design C: $Stab(U) = S_a wr S_b$

Stab(U): always neighbour transitive on C

Bob and I: generalised g.c.d. construction – take any code C₀ in J(b,c) based on the b-set U and define

 $C = \{ union of all parts in x | x in C_0 \}$

Theorem C is neighbour-transitive if C₀ is "strongly incidence transitive"



From now on this is work with Bob Liebler

Bob and I: take any code C₀ in J(b,c) based on the b-set U and define

 $C = \{ union of all parts in x | x in C_0 \}$

- 5 more explicit constructions based on partition U of V [a couple are completely transitive – discovered with Chris Godsil]
- Theorem If C is neighbour-transitive in J(v,k) and Aut(C) is imprimitive on V (preserves some partition U) then C is one of these examples



From now on assume Aut(C) primitive on V

Theorem

Given Aut(C) is primitive on \mathcal{V} and neighbour trans on C (a) $\delta(C) \ge 3$ implies Aut(C) is 2-transitive on \mathcal{V} (b) $\delta(C) \ge 2$ and C inc-trans implies Aut(C) is 2-trans on \mathcal{V}

Idea of Proof Strategy

- Let $u \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\Delta(u) = \bigcap \{\gamma \in C | u \in \gamma \}$.
- Prove Δ(u) block of imprimitivity for Aut(C). Conclude
 Δ(u) = {u}
- 'Use incidence-transitivity' to prove Aut(C) 2-trans.

Opens possibility to classify incidence-transitive codes with $\delta(C) \ge 2$, using classification of 2-transitive groups.



This is the 2-transitive reduction for neighbour transitive codes in J(v, k)

Still not complete – significant questions remain!

Already showed you sporadic case – complete classification

This leaves essentially four cases

- Projective
- Affine
- Rank 1 groups (Sz, Ree, unitary)
- Symplectic



Projective groups: G = Aut(C)

 $PSL(n, q) \le G \le P\Gamma L(n, q)$ with $\mathcal{V} = PG(n - 1, q)$

Example n = 2 $q = q_0^2$, $\gamma = \text{Baer sub-line PG}(1, q_0)$, $C = \gamma^G$

Theorem for n = 2

These are the only examples with $n = 2, k \ge 3$

Examples $n \ge 3$ $\gamma = a$ subspace of PG(n - 1, q), $C = \gamma^G$



Projective groups: G = Aut(C)

Theorem for $n \ge 3$: Either C as in the examples or

for each line λ of PG(n-1, q), $|\lambda \cap \gamma| \in \{0, x, q+1\}$ for x fixed, and moreover, either x = 2, or $q = q_0^2$ and $x = q_0 + 1$



Examples with x = 2

C = the complements of *r*-subspaces in PG(*n*, 2) - any others? Any with $q = q_0^2$, $x = q_0 + 1$? – Baer sub-geoms are not



Affine actions: G = Aut(C) in $A\Gamma L(n,q)$

 $G \leq A\Gamma L(n,q)$ with $\mathcal{V} = AG(n,q)$

Example n = 1 $q = 4, \mathcal{V} = \mathbb{F}_4, C = \{\gamma = \{0, 1\} = \mathbb{F}_2\},\$ $C_1 = \{\{0, \xi\}, \{0, \xi + 1\}, \{1, \xi\}, \{1, \xi + 1\}\}\$ *G* generated by $t_1 : x \mapsto x + 1$ and $\sigma : x \mapsto x^2$

Theorem for *n* = 1

This is the only example with n = 1 with G incidence-transitive

Proof is surprisingly difficult



Affine actions: G = Aut(C) in $A\Gamma L(n,q)$

Examples $n \ge 2$ $\gamma = a$ subspace of AG(n, q), $C = \gamma^G$ Examples $n \ge 2$ q = 4, $\gamma = AG(n, 2)$ a Baer sub-geometry, $C = \gamma^G$

Theorem for $n \ge 2$: Either C as in the examples or

q = 4 and, for each line λ of AG(n, q), $|\lambda \cap \gamma| \in \{0, 2, 4\}$





Rank 1 groups: Ree, Sz, Unitary

$$-Sz(q)$$
 on V, $|V|=q^2+1$, $q=2^{2a+1}$ no examples

- –Ree(q) on V, |V|=q³+1, q=3^{2a+1} no examples
- –PSU(3, q) on V, |V|=q³+1, examples from unital k=q+1



Symplectic groups G=Sp(2n,2) with $|V|=2^{n-1}(2^n+1)$ or $2^{n-1}(2^n-1)$

 $G = \operatorname{Sp}(n, 2)$ with $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon}$

set of non-degenerate quadratic forms of type $\varepsilon = \pm$ that polarise to the symplectic form preserved by *G*

Let V(2n, 2) be the underlying space for natural G-action

Example \mathcal{U} nonsingular so $V(2n, 2) = \mathcal{U} \perp \mathcal{U}^{\perp}$. $\gamma = \{ \text{ all forms } \varphi \in \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon} \text{ such that } \varphi|_{\mathcal{U}}, \varphi|_{\mathcal{U}^{\perp}} \text{ have types } \varepsilon_{\mathcal{U}}, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{U}^{\perp}} \text{ where } \varepsilon_{\mathcal{U}} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{U}^{\perp}} = \varepsilon \}$



So that's it:

- Several open problems
- Affine and projective cases: Very symmetrical geometrical configurations do they exist?
- Symplectic groups: huge analytical issues orthogonal model
- Ways forward?
 - Computation for small n
 - Use geometry and algebra for better understanding
 - Use knowledge of maximal subgroups to restrict possibilities